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Health surveys are an important resource for monitoring population health, but selective nonresponse may impede valid inference. This study aimed to assess nonresponse bias in a population-sampled health survey in Scotland, with a focus on alcohol-related outcomes. Nonresponse bias was assessed by examining whether rates of alcohol-related harm (i.e., hospitalization or death) and all-cause mortality among respondents to the Scottish Health Surveys (from 1995 to 2010) were equivalent to those in the general population, and whether the extent of any bias varied according to sociodemographic attributes or over time. Data from consenting respondents (aged 20–64 years) to 6 Scottish Health Surveys were confidentially linked to death and hospitalization records and compared with general population counterparts. Directly age-standardized incidence rates of alcohol-related harm and all-cause mortality were lower among Scottish Health Survey respondents compared with the general population. For all years combined, the survey-to-population rate ratios were 0.69 (95% confidence interval: 0.61, 0.76) for the incidence of alcohol-related harm and 0.89 (95% confidence interval: 0.83, 0.96) for all-cause mortality. Bias was more pronounced among persons residing in more deprived areas; limited evidence was found for regional or temporal variation. This suggests that corresponding underestimation of population rates of alcohol consumption is likely to be socially patterned.
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contact and locate. They also may be more likely to reside outside the typical survey sampling frame, and those who refuse to participate may have different consumption patterns again. Nonresponse generally does not distort comparisons between subgroups of participants, for example, in survey estimates of socioeconomic health disparities (7, 12, 14). However, this is not always the case (13, 15), and little is known about the potential impact on estimates of social patterning of alcohol-related outcomes in particular. Understanding trends over time can also be important if varying response levels are associated with changing nonresponse bias.

The objective of this study was to assess the magnitude and patterning of nonresponse bias with a focus on alcohol-related outcomes in a series of cross-sectional health surveys in Scotland. One means of assessing bias resulting from nonresponse is to compare respondent characteristics and outcomes with those of the population to which we are attempting to generalize. Direct comparison is possible in countries where samples are drawn from an individual-level population register with unique person identification and comprehensive linkage. This allows us to explicitly identify sociodemographic characteristics and selected morbidities for all sampled individuals regardless of response status (7, 12, 16). However, such enhanced sampling frames are generally restricted to countries operating national registers (mainly in the Nordic region); in other countries, an alternative approach is needed to make comparisons between respondents and nonrespondents. We exploited record linkage of morbidity and mortality data to compare alcohol-related harm and all-cause mortality outcomes in survey respondents with contemporaneous data on the general population of Scotland. We aimed first to quantify differences in alcohol-related harm and all-cause mortality outcomes in survey respondents with the data available in the SHeS.

To construct general population data comparable with each SHeS survey, we used data zone–level midyear population estimates from the National Records of Scotland (NRS) stratified by sex and age group at each survey year. Data zone–level population estimates were not available for mid-1995, however, so mid-1996 population estimates were used. NHS Health Board and small-area deprivation data can be mapped to the data zone geography, such that baseline midyear population counts in each survey year can then be aggregated by sex, age group, and area deprivation quintile consistent with the data available in the SHeS.

METHODS

Data

Scottish Health Surveys. The Scottish Health Surveys (SHeS) are a series of stratified, cluster-sampled, cross-sectional surveys designed to measure the health of a representative sample of the Scottish population living in private households (17). We used data from the surveys conducted in 1995, 1998, 2003, 2008, 2009, and 2010 (household response proportions of 81%–63%; adult response proportions of 84%–55% (18), Table 1). The surveys include detailed information on both somatic and psychological morbidities and associated risk factors. Socioeconomic and geographical data allow comparisons to be made by relative deprivation and area of residence.

Area deprivation and geographical measures. Available measures of deprivation include the Carstairs and Morris 2001 area deprivation score (19), which is a measure of small-area material disadvantage, in the 1995 and 1998 surveys and the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) (20) from the 2003 survey onward. The Carstairs and Morris 2001 measure combines information on household overcrowding, unemployment among men, occupational social class, and whether a household owns a car to reflect access of small-area populations to material resources (19). The SIMD measures multiple facets of deprivation (e.g., income, employment, health, education, skills and training, housing, and geographic access to basic services) at “data zone” level, such that relative deprivation can be assessed. Data zones are small-area geographical units, with population sizes ranging from approximately 500 to 1,000 household residents (median population of 750 (21)). There are 6,505 such data zones in Scotland. Broader geographical information is based on National Health Service (NHS) Health Boards, which are aggregated into 7 larger Health Board regions (22). In 2006, the administrative NHS Health Board boundaries were altered in a manner that prevents direct comparison with earlier time periods.

General population demographic data. To construct general population data comparable with each SHeS survey, we used data zone–level midyear population estimates from the National Records of Scotland (NRS) stratified by sex and age group at each survey year. Data zone–level population estimates were not available for mid-1995, however, so mid-1996 population estimates were used. NHS Health Board and small-area deprivation data can be mapped to the data zone geography, such that baseline midyear population counts in each survey year can then be aggregated by sex, age group, Health Board region, and area deprivation quintile consistent with the data available in the SHeS.

Morbidity and mortality records. The Scottish Morbidity Records (SMR) are hospital records drawn from routinely

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Year</th>
<th>Household Response Proportion, %</th>
<th>Adult Response Proportion, %</th>
<th>Proportion Consenting to Linkage, %</th>
<th>No. of Men</th>
<th>No. of Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3,118</td>
<td>3,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2,944</td>
<td>3,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>3,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1,683</td>
<td>2,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>2,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1,894</td>
<td>2,571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Response Proportions and Consent to Linkage in the Scottish Health Surveys Among Men and Women Aged 20–64 Years, 1995–2010
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collected administrative NHS data across Scotland, detailing demographic and socioeconomic information (e.g., age, sex, Health Board area of residence, and quintile of deprivation), episode management, and clinical information (23). Ethical approval for the use of these data was given by the NHS Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee and Privacy Advisory Committee to the Board of NHS National Services Scotland and Registrar General (Edinburgh, Scotland). All inpatient and day cases discharged from specialties other than maternity, neonatal, and geriatric long-stay specialties with alcohol-related diagnoses in any diagnostic position were considered. The SMR records have been found to be approximately 90% accurate in identifying the correct diagnosis (24) and approximately 99% complete (25). Mortality data collected by the NRS have also been linked to the SMR records and survey records of consenting respondents. We considered deaths for which alcohol was deemed to be the primary cause. Hospitalization and death records were available from 1981 to the end of 2011. We used International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition, and the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Edition, codes to classify diagnoses and causes of death. The codes used to define alcohol-related hospital episodes and deaths appear in Web Appendix 1, available at http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/.

Construction of the analytical sample. Individual-level data from the SHeS have been confidentially linked to routinely collected hospital admission records and mortality data (17) using a probabilistic matching algorithm (26). The linkage consent rate was 89% over all 6 surveys, ranging from 93% in 1995 to 86% in 2010. The sample was restricted to consenting respondents aged 20–64 years, because this age range was available in all survey years.

To construct the denominator for the general population, we aggregated baseline population counts of individuals aged 20–64 years from the NRS midyear population estimates in 1996, 1998, 2003, 2008, 2009, and 2010 by age group, sex, Health Board region, and deprivation quintile. Follow-up was measured from a proxy interview date of July 1 in each of these years until the end of June 2011. Aggregate person-years of exposure in the absence of any event in each sociodemographic group can then be inferred from this baseline count. To identify the number and timing of events, we drew numerator data for the population from the SMR and NRS records. The day of occurrence of each event is recorded, such that time-to-event from proxy interview date can be ascertained, allowing person-time in each sociodemographic group to be censored after the event of interest, death from any cause, or the end of the follow-up period, whichever occurs first. The sum of censored person-time identified from the hospital and death registers within each sociodemographic group was removed from the aggregate person-years of follow-up within each sociodemographic group (inferred from the full baseline population counts) to obtain accurate exposure time in each sociodemographic group. The hospital admission and death records span 1981 to the end of 2011, allowing identification of respondents with preexisting alcohol-related morbidities in both the general population and among survey respondents. In calculating incidence rates, we confined the numerator data to first-ever events; accordingly, the contribution of individuals with any preexisting alcohol-related morbidities in each sociodemographic group was removed from the baseline count and associated person-time-at-risk.

Numerator and denominator data were categorized into cells according to 5-year age group, sex, quintile of deprivation, Health Board region, and data source (i.e., survey respondents or general population). The survey data were weighted using previously derived survey weights that adjust for differential probability of selection due to sampling design and differential nonresponse as a function of the sociodemographic attributes of age group, sex, and region in the 1995 (27), 1998 (28), and 2003 (29) surveys, and more recently (from 2008), whether a household is located in the most deprived 15% of data zones as measured by the SIMD (30). The data sources we used are summarized in Figure 1.

Statistical methodology

We compared the following 2 outcomes between the SHeS respondents and the general population of Scotland: time to first occurrence of an alcohol-related harm (first-ever alcohol-related hospitalization or alcohol-related death) and time to death from any cause. Robust Poisson models were used (31) to allow for extra-Poisson variation associated with the use of survey weights, and 4 models were explored for each outcome. The purpose of the first model, model 1, was to quantify the ratio of the rate of each outcome in the survey participants compared with the rates in the general population. This was fitted separately by sex and time period (2008, 2009, and 2010 data were pooled for all analyses because of small numbers of events) and related the outcome to a set of age-group indicators and an indicator that assumed the value 1 for the survey data and 0 for the general population data. An offset was included to account for varying exposure time. Age-specific rates of the outcome within the survey and population data were generated and directly age standardized to the 1976 European Standard Population (32). To assess the magnitude of nonresponse bias, we calculated the ratio of the standardized survey rate to the standardized population rate and 95% confidence intervals.

Model 1 was then modified to further explore variation in any nonresponse bias. Model 2 pooled the data across all time periods and augmented the specification given in model 1 with main effects and an interaction between time period and data source to assess whether the age-adjusted nonresponse differential varied over time. Model 2 was fitted by sex, because there was some evidence that the level of nonresponse bias over all survey years combined, as well as the change over time, differed by sex. Model 3 added a deprivation quintile covariate and an interaction between deprivation and data source to model 2. Separately, model 4 added an indicator for each Health Board region and the interaction between these and the data source. We present P values from a 2-sided Wald test assessing whether the Health Board data source interaction terms are jointly equal to 0. The exponentiated coefficient (i.e., rate ratio (RR)) on the interaction term may be interpreted as a ratio of the survey-to-population rate ratio in 1 subgroup to the survey-to-population rate ratio in the reference subgroup in the discrete case, or a ratio of 2 survey-to-population rate ratios associated with a 1-unit increase in a
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cariate in the continuous case (33). As a robustness check, we also repeated the above analysis for time until first event of alcohol-related harm, including in those with preexisting morbidities. Analyses were conducted using Stata/SE, version 13.1, software (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas).

RESULTS

Among the 3,118 men aged 20–64 years in the 1995 SHeS who consented to linkage, 205 (6.6%) were subsequently hospitalized, and 27 (0.9%) died from a primarily alcohol-related cause. For the 3,867 female respondents, these figures were 53,938 (3.5%) and 5,868 (0.4%), respectively. The corresponding population figures showed that 122,660 (8.2%) of 1,492,868 men were hospitalized, and 12,883 (0.9%) died from alcohol-related causes. For the 1,551,069 women in the general population, these figures were 53,938 (3.5%) and 5,868 (0.4%), respectively (counts for all years are presented in Web Table 1).

Comparison of survey respondents and the general population

Table 2 describes the overall degree of nonresponse bias in the incidence of alcohol-related harm and all-cause mortality by time period and sex, reporting age-standardized incidence rates of alcohol-related harm, age-standardized rates of all-cause mortality, and the corresponding survey-to-population rate ratios for both of these outcomes. Over all time periods combined, the survey-to-population rate ratios for the incidence of alcohol-related harm were 0.65 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.56, 0.73) among men and 0.76 (95% CI: 0.65, 0.88) among women. For all-cause mortality, these figures were 0.82 (95% CI: 0.74, 0.90) and 1.00 (95% CI: 0.89, 1.10), respectively.

Variation over time

Over the survey years considered, survey-to-population rate ratios for the incidence of alcohol-related harm among men ranged from 0.57 to 0.68, whereas among women these figures were closer to 1.00. For all-cause mortality, the degree of nonresponse bias again tended to be greater among men than women (Table 2). Overall, the estimated per-year change in the magnitude of bias in the incidence of alcohol-related harm was not substantial among either men (RR = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.97, 1.02) or women (RR = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.07); this was similar for all-cause mortality.

Variation by deprivation and region

When combining data across all survey years, we found that greater nonresponse bias was associated with increased deprivation for both the incidence of alcohol-related harm (RR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.86, 0.99) and all-cause mortality (RR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.92, 1.01). This association was greatest in 1995 for both the incidence of alcohol-related harm (RR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.78, 0.96) and all-cause mortality (RR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.86, 0.99) and tended to be more pronounced among men compared with women. However, tests of interactions between data source, deprivation, and time period (or sex) did not provide strong evidence for statistical differences between these groups. For data combined across all years with consistent regional boundaries (from 1995 to 2003), model 4 showed no evidence of regional variation in nonresponse bias for alcohol-related harm among men (P = 0.74) or women (P = 0.81) or in all-cause mortality among men (P = 0.93) or women (P = 0.46).

Qualitatively similar results were found when considering time until first event of alcohol-related harm among the full sample; that is, including those with preexisting morbidities.
Over all time periods combined, the survey-to-population rate ratios indicating the extent of nonresponse bias were 0.65 (95% CI: 0.58, 0.73) among men and 0.74 (95% CI: 0.64, 0.83) among women.

DISCUSSION

Respondents to the SHesS experienced lower rates of all-cause mortality and incidence of alcohol-related harm than the general population of Scotland over 6 survey waves with varying lengths of follow-up. Overall, bias was more pronounced in estimates of alcohol-related harm compared with all-cause mortality and persisted after the application of survey weights and direct age standardization. The differential in health outcomes and behaviors between respondents and nonrespondents is well documented; however, existing evidence for an interaction between health-related nonresponse bias and socioeconomic status is mixed (7, 13).

In particular, little is known about potential bias in the socioeconomic gradient in alcohol-related outcomes. The present study found the extent of bias—in both the rates of alcohol-related harm and all-cause mortality—to be greater among individuals residing in the most deprived areas, suggesting distortion in the estimated social gradient of these outcomes. Over time, the declining response levels in the SHesS surveys mirrors those experienced by many surveys internationally, but a parallel increase in nonresponse bias has not been detected. This is not entirely surprising because the response proportion alone is theoretically not an ideal proxy for nonresponse bias (34). Indeed, lower response may not necessarily predict further nonresponse bias (35, 36), and efforts to increase response rates do not guarantee improved representativeness (37).

Our findings corroborate those of prior studies: nonrespondents have been found to have elevated absolute risk of death (7, 38), a greater propensity to engage in risky health

### Table 2. Rates of First-Ever Events of Alcohol-Related Harm and All-Cause Mortality Per 100,000 Person-Years at Risk Among Scottish Health Survey Respondents and the General Population of Scotland Aged 20–64 Years, 1995–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year by Sex</th>
<th>SHeS Rate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>General Population Rate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Rate Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>248,366</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>436,527</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.50, 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>249,399</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>432,518</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.51, 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>187,341</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>422,502</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.40, 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2010</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>153,347</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>380,428</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.38, 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All years</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>261,337</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>441,485</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.56, 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100,159</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>182,210</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.50, 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>107,181</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>185,212</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.53, 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>131,258</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>188,217</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.64, 1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2010</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>92,275</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>178,198</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.49, 1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All years</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>129,173</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>190,204</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.65, 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men and women</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>201,245</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>312,337</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.61, 0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time to First-Ever Alcohol-Related Harm**

**Time to Death From Any Cause**

Men
- 1995: 709 (620, 797) / 915 (847, 984) = 0.77 (0.66, 0.89)
- 1998: 642 (554, 730) / 766 (707, 824) = 0.84 (0.71, 0.97)
- 2003: 410 (311, 509) / 557 (514, 600) = 0.73 (0.55, 0.92)
- 2008–2010: 315 (210, 420) / 420 (395, 445) = 0.75 (0.50, 1.00)
- All years: 604 (551, 658) / 738 (702, 774) = 0.82 (0.74, 0.90)

Women
- 1995: 539 (469, 608) / 567 (531, 603) = 0.95 (0.82, 1.09)
- 1998: 489 (405, 572) / 467 (437, 498) = 1.05 (0.85, 1.24)
- 2003: 290 (205, 375) / 336 (315, 358) = 0.86 (0.60, 1.12)
- 2008–2010: 216 (146, 286) / 256 (243, 268) = 0.85 (0.57, 1.12)
- All years: 446 (403, 489) / 446 (427, 466) = 1.00 (0.89, 1.10)

Men and women
- 522 (488, 557) / 585 (563, 606) = 0.89 (0.83, 0.96)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SHeS, Scottish Health Survey.
behaviors, and a greater risk of experiencing associated disorders (12, 39). In particular, individuals with more problematic alcohol consumption patterns are typically underrepresented in health surveys (6, 11, 40, 41), and higher rates of death among nonrespondents are often particularly pronounced for alcohol-related causes (9, 16). Several potential explanations exist for why bias may be greater for alcohol-related harm than for all-cause mortality. First, hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption is often stigmatized, potentially increasing the rates of refusal to participate. Second, problematic alcohol consumption may be associated with a higher likelihood of selective exclusion from the sampling frame—for instance, through homelessness (42) or incarceration (43). Third, hazardous and harmful drinking may decrease the likelihood of survey administrators being able to contact potential respondents, even when they remain in the sampling frame.

The use of record-linked survey data is eminently suitable for survey validation, because they enable direct comparison of the same outcomes between survey respondents and the general population, unlike other approaches to assessing bias, such as comparing the characteristics of early and late respondents. The data we used are of high quality (24, 25), and their repeated cross-sectional nature allows assessment of how the level of bias has changed over time. We have a rich source of longitudinal information with a relatively long period of follow-up and high linkage consent rates. Record-linked survey data bring a number of advantages but also have limitations. Those who do not consent to linkage in the SHeS may introduce bias if this group differs systematically from those who do consent. This effect may be limited, because those who do not consent comprise only 7%–14% of the survey respondents, and no statistically significant differences between these groups have been found in terms of weekly alcohol consumption or binge drinking (data not shown). The use of the SIMD index, which comprises multiple facets of deprivation, including death, suggests a degree of circularity in using this to assess differentials in health-related outcomes. Our findings are robust to using the subcomponent of the measure based only on income data.

A further consideration is that sampling coverage in population-sampled surveys is often confined to individuals living in private households, as in the SHeS. This excludes certain groups present in the general population, such as those living in communal establishments—for instance, prisons, homeless hostels, and medical and long-term care establishments. Because this group experiences a systematically different rate of alcohol-related harm compared with the general population, correspondingly different outcomes in our comparisons are expected, even if the SHeS accurately represents its target population. Several aspects of our analysis are expected to lessen the impact of this differential sampling frame; confining the age range to 20–64 years excludes a large proportion of those residing in medical and long-term care institutions. Although it is difficult to measure, the proportion of the population of Scotland resident in communal establishments is likely to be small; data from the 2001 census indicate that approximately 1.7% were resident in communal establishments (44). The effect on our analysis depends on the extent of the differential rate of harm in this group. A Finnish study explicitly identified those typically excluded from survey sampling frames; the exclusion of this group reduced, but did not have a substantial impact on (maximum 4%), estimates of alcohol-related death (45). A lack of granular data—especially regarding death and morbidities—makes it difficult to harmonize the sampling frames entirely (e.g., by excluding institutionalized individuals from the general population data), but it is unlikely that such a correction would qualitatively affect our conclusions.

Migration also represents a potential caveat in this analysis. Individuals who emigrate outside of Scotland during the study period will have unknown health outcomes, whereas individuals entering Scotland may contribute outcomes but are not included in the baseline population estimate. The impact of this depends on the relative health of migrants; some studies of migration patterns in Scotland have found a “healthy migrant” effect (46). However, there is likely to be heterogeneity stemming from country of origin—for instance, recent increased immigration from central and eastern European countries with known higher rates of alcohol misuse (47) may offset the effect of healthy migrants.

This study capitalized on linkage of survey records to routinely collected health data to identify lower rates of alcohol-related harm and all-cause mortality among survey respondents compared with population counterparts in a series of health surveys in Scotland. The extent of bias was more pronounced among individuals living in the most deprived areas, and limited evidence was found for regional and temporal variations. These findings have wider implications for the accurate measurement of population-level alcohol consumption. A comparison of survey estimates of population-level alcohol consumption with per-capita figures derived from data on national alcohol sales reveals a coverage gap of up to 50% in Scotland (48). The results of this study suggest that this underestimation is likely to be explained to some extent by the lower response rate of individuals who consume alcohol most problematically, with this bias being greater in the most deprived areas. As the validity of population-sampled surveys is increasingly jeopardized through declining response levels, their ongoing value may be improved through the use of reliable auxiliary information to better understand and adjust for nonresponse bias. Post hoc survey adjustments (such as weighting or multiple imputation) based solely on demographic and socioeconomic characteristics are often insufficient to account for health-related differences between respondents and nonrespondents (49, 50) and may generate results that remain divergent from population-representative health outcomes. Insights gained from comparisons between survey respondents and the general population will expand opportunities for more informed weighting or imputation procedures, ultimately enabling the production of more accurate estimates of population-level alcohol intake used for policy planning and evaluation (18).
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