Model-Independent Measurement of the W-Boson Helicity in Top-Quark Decays at D0
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We present the first model-independent measurement of the helicity of $W$ bosons produced in top quark decays, based on a $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ sample of candidate $t\bar{t}$ events in the dilepton and lepton plus jets channels collected by the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron $p\bar{p}$ Collider. We reconstruct the angle $\theta^*$ between the momenta of the down-type fermion and the top quark in the $W$ boson rest frame for each top quark decay. A fit of the resulting $\cos \theta^*$ distribution finds that the fraction of longitudinal $W$ bosons
The top quark is by far the heaviest of the known fermions and is the only one that has a Yukawa coupling to the Higgs boson of order unity in the standard model (SM). In the SM, the top quark decays via the $V-A$ charged-current interaction, almost always to a $W$ boson and a $b$ quark. We search for evidence of new physics in the $t \to Wb$ decay by measuring the helicity of the $W$ boson. A different Lorentz structure of the $t \to Wb$ interaction would alter the fractions of $W$ bosons produced in each polarization state from the SM values of $0.697 \pm 0.012$ [1] and $3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ [2] for the longitudinal fraction $f_0$ and right-handed fraction $f_+$, respectively, at the world average top quark mass $m_t = 172.5 \pm 2.3$ GeV [3].

In this Letter, we report a simultaneous measurement of $f_0$ and $f_+$ (the negative helicity fraction $f_-$ is then fixed by the requirement that $f_+ + f_0 + f_+ = 1$). This is the first model-independent $W$ boson helicity measurement. A measurement of the $W$ boson helicity fractions that differs significantly from the SM values would be an unambiguous indication of new physics [4–6]. In addition, the model-independent $W$ boson helicity measurement can be combined with measurements of single top production cross sections to fully specify the $tbW$ vertex [7].

Measurements of the $b \to s \gamma$ decay rate assuming the absence of gluonic penguin contributions have indirectly limited the $V + A$ contribution in top quark decays to less than a few percent [8]. Direct measurements of the longitudinal fraction ($f_+$ set to zero) found $f_0 = 0.85^{+0.16}_{-0.23}$ [9] and $f_0 = 0.56 \pm 0.31$ [10]. Direct measurements of $f_+$ ($f_0$ set to 0) have found $f_+ = -0.02 \pm 0.08$ [11] and $f_+ = 0.06 \pm 0.10$ [12]. The analysis presented here improves upon that reported in Ref. [12] by using a larger data set, employing enhanced event selection techniques, making use of hadronic $W$ boson decays, and introducing the model-independent analysis in which $f_0$ and $f_+$ are varied independently.

The angular distribution of the down-type decay products of the $W$ boson (charged lepton or $d, s$ quark) can be described by introducing the angle $\theta^*$ between the $W$ boson’s momentum in the top quark rest frame and the down-type fermion’s momentum in the $W$ boson rest frame. The dependence of the distribution of $\cos \theta^*$ on the $W$ boson helicity fractions,

$$\omega(c) \approx 2(1-c^2)f_0 + (1-c)^2f_- + (1+c)^2f_+,$$  

where $c = \cos \theta^*$, forms the basis for our measurement. We proceed by selecting a data sample enriched in $t\bar{t}$ events, reconstructing the four vectors of the two top quarks and their decay products, and then calculating $\cos \theta^*$. The down-type fermions in leptonic $W$ boson decays are the charged leptons. For hadronic $W$ boson decays, we choose a $W$ boson daughter jet at random to calculate $\cos \theta^*$. Since this introduces a sign ambiguity into the calculation, we consider only $|\cos \theta^*|$ for hadronic $W$ boson decays. The $|\cos \theta^*|$ variable does not discriminate between left- and right-handed $W$ bosons, but adds information for determining the fraction of longitudinal $W$ bosons. These distributions in $\cos \theta^*$ are compared with templates for different $W$ boson helicity models, accounting for background and reconstruction effects, using a binned maximum likelihood method.

This measurement uses a data sample recorded with the D0 experiment [13] that corresponds to an integrated luminosity of about $1 \text{fb}^{-1}$ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The data sample consists of $t\bar{t}$ candidate events from the lepton plus jets ($\ell +$ jets) decay channel $t\bar{t} \to W^+W^-b\bar{b} \to \ell+qq'\ell'\bar{b}\bar{b}$ and the dilepton channel $t\bar{t} \to W^+W^-b\bar{b} \to \nu\ell\nu'\ell'\bar{b}\bar{b}$, where $\ell$ and $\ell'$ are electrons or muons. The $\ell +$ jets final state is characterized by one charged lepton, at least four jets, and large missing transverse energy ($E_T$). The dilepton final state is characterized by two charged leptons, at least two jets, and large $E_T$. In both final states, at least two of the jets are $b$ jets.

The trigger requirements vary depending on the $t\bar{t}$ decay channel: for $\ell +$ jets events, both a jet and a lepton are required at trigger level, for $ee$ events a lepton is required, and for $e\mu$ and $\mu\mu$ events either a lepton or jet is required. The $\ell +$ jets event selection [14] requires an isolated charged lepton with transverse momentum $p_T > 20$ GeV, no other charged lepton with $p_T > 15$ GeV in the event, $E_T > 20$ GeV, and at least four jets. In the dilepton channel, events are required to have two charged leptons with opposite charge and $p_T > 15$ GeV and two or more jets. Electrons are required to have pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 1.1$ in the $\ell +$ jets channel and $|\eta| < 1.5$ in the dilepton channel, and are identified by their energy deposition, isolation, and shower shape in the calorimeter, and information from the tracking system [14]. Muons are identified using information from the muon and tracking systems and must have little surrounding calorimeter energy or charged track momentum. They are required to have $|\eta| < 2.0$. Jets are reconstructed using a cone algorithm with cone radius 0.5 [16] and are required to have rapidity $|y| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 20$ GeV. The $E_T$ is calculated from the vector sum of calorimeter cell energies, corrected to account for the response of the calorimeter to jets and electrons and also for the momenta of identified muons.

We simulate $t\bar{t}$ signal events with $m_t = 172.5$ GeV for different values of $f_+$ with the ALPGEN Monte Carlo (MC)
program [17] for the parton-level process (leading order) and PYTHIA [18] for gluon radiation and subsequent hadronization. We generate samples corresponding to each of the three $W$ boson helicity configurations by reweighting the generated $\cos\theta^* \cos\theta$ distributions.

Backgrounds in the $\ell + \text{jets}$ channel arise mainly from $W + \text{jets}$ production and multijet production. In the dilepton channel, backgrounds arise from processes such as $WW + \text{jets}$ or $Z + \text{jets}$. The MC samples used to model background events with real leptons are also generated using ALPGEN and PYTHIA. Both the signal and background MC samples are passed through a GEANT3 [19] simulation of the detector response and reconstructed with the same algorithms used for data. In the $\ell + \text{jets}$ channel we estimate the number $N_{\text{nj}}$ of multijet background events from data, using the technique described in Ref. [14]. We calculate $N_{\text{nj}}$ for each bin in the $\cos\theta^*$ distribution from the data sample to obtain the multijet $\cos\theta^*$ templates.

To increase the signal purity, a multivariate likelihood discriminant $D$ [14] with values in the range 0 to 1 is calculated using input variables which exploit differences in kinematics and jet flavor. The kinematic variables make use of the fact that jets and leptons in signal events tend to have larger $p_T$, be more central, and be distributed more spherically in $\eta - \phi$ space than those in background. Signal events also have at least two $b$ jets, while background events usually have none. The likelihood of a given jet arising from a $b$ quark is estimated using the output $\Delta NN_{b}$ of a neural network that combines several features of the tracks within the jet [20].

The discriminant is built separately for each of the five final states considered, using the method described in Refs. [14,21]. An example of the distributions of signal, background and data events in $D$ is shown in Fig. 1.

We perform a binned Poisson maximum likelihood fit to compare the observed distribution of events in $D$ to the sum of the distributions expected from $t\bar{t}$ and background events. In the $\ell + \text{jets}$ channels, $N_{\text{nj}}$ is constrained to the expected value within the known uncertainty, while in the dilepton channels the ratio of the various background sources is fixed to the expectation from the cross sections times efficiency of the kinematic selection. The likelihood is then maximized with respect to the numbers of $t\bar{t}$ and background events, which are multiplied by the efficiency for the $D$ selection to determine the composition of the sample used for measuring the $W$ boson helicity fractions. Table I lists the composition of each sample as well as the number of observed events in the data.

The top quark and $W$ boson four-momenta in the selected $\ell + \text{jets}$ events are reconstructed using a kinematic fit which is subject to the following constraints: two jets must form the invariant mass of the $W$ boson [22], the charged lepton and the $E_T$ together with the neutrino $p_z$ component must form the invariant mass of the $W$ boson, and the masses of the two reconstructed top quarks must be 172.5 GeV. The four highest-$p_T$ jets in each event are used in the fit, and among the 12 possible jet combinations, the solution with the maximal probability, considering both the $\chi^2$ from the kinematic fit and the $NN_{b}$ values of the four jets, is chosen. The $\cos\theta^*$ distributions for leptonic and hadronic $W$ boson decays obtained in the $\ell + \text{jets}$ data after the full selection are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

Since the two neutrinos in the dilepton final state are not detected, the system is kinematically underconstrained. However, if $m_{\nu}$ is assumed, the kinematics can be solved algebraically with a fourfold ambiguity in addition to the twofold ambiguity in pairing jets with charged leptons. For each of the two leading jets, we calculate the value of $\cos\theta^*$ resulting from each solution with each of the two charged leptons associated with the jet. To explore the phase space consistent with the measured jet and charged lepton energies, we fluctuate them according to their resolution many times, and repeat the above procedure for each fluctuation. The average of the values arising from each solution ambiguity and event fluctuation is taken as $\cos\theta^*$ for that jet. The $\cos\theta^*$ distribution obtained in dilepton data is shown in Fig. 2(c).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell + \text{jets}$</th>
<th>$e\mu$</th>
<th>$ee$ and $\mu\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ purity before $D$ selection (%)</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
<td>66 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background after $D$ selection</td>
<td>54.1 ± 6.9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data events after $D$ selection</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uncertainties are statistical only.

FIG. 1 (color online). Distribution of $D$ for data (points with error bars), background (shaded histogram), and signal plus background (open histogram) in the $e + \text{jets}$ channel.
Gaussian term in the likelihood. The fit also accounts for the differences in selection efficiency for $t\bar{t}$ events with different $W$ helicity configurations \[23\].

Systematic uncertainties are evaluated in ensemble tests by varying the parameters that can affect the measurement. Ensembles are formed by drawing events from a model with the parameter under study varied. These are compared to the standard $\cos\theta^*$ templates in a maximum likelihood fit. The average shifts in the resulting $f_0$ and $f_+\,\,$ values are taken as the systematic uncertainty and are shown in Table II. The total systematic uncertainty is then taken into account in the likelihood by convoluting the likelihood with a Gaussian with a width that corresponds to the total systematic uncertainty. The mass of the top quark is varied by $\pm2.3$ GeV, and the jet reconstruction efficiency, energy calibration, and $b$ fragmentation parameters by $\pm1\sigma$ around their nominal values. The $t\bar{t}$ model uncertainty is studied by comparing $t\bar{t}$ events generated by PYTHIA to the standard ALPGEN samples, considering samples with a different model for the underlying event and ones in which only a single primary vertex is reconstructed. Effects of mismodeling the background distribution in $\cos\theta^*$ are assessed by comparing data to the background model for events with low $D$ values. The uncertainty due to jet statistics is evaluated by fluctuating the templates according to their statistical uncertainties and repeating the fit to the data for each fluctuation. Uncertainties due to jet resolution, jet flavor composition in the background, the modeling of the $NN_b$ variable, and parton distribution functions are all found to be less than 0.01 for both $f_0$ and $f_+\,$.

The measured values of $f_0$ and $f_+$ are

$$f_0 = 0.425 \pm 0.166\,$(stat) $\pm 0.102\,$(syst),$$
$$f_+ = 0.119 \pm 0.090\,$(stat) $\pm 0.053\,$(syst),$$

with a correlation coefficient of $-0.83$. The inclusion of the $|\cos\theta^*|$ measurement from hadronic $W$ boson decays improves the uncertainties on $f_0$ and $f_+$ by about 20% relative to those obtained using only the leptonic decays. The $68\%$ and $95\%$ C.L. contours from the fit, including systematic uncertainties, are shown in Fig. 3. The data indicate fewer longitudinal and more right-handed $W$ bosons than the SM predicts, but the difference is not significant as there is a $30\%$ chance of observing a larger discrepancy given the statistical and systematic uncertainties in the measurement.

FIG. 2 (color online). Comparison of the $\cos\theta^*$ distribution in data (points with error bars) and the global best-fit model (solid open histograms) for (a) leptonic $W$ boson decays in $\ell +$ jets events, (b) hadronic $W$ boson decays in $\ell +$ jets events, and (c) dilepton events. The dashed open histograms show the SM expectation, and the shaded histograms represent the background contribution. Each $\ell +$ jets event provides an entry to both (a) and (b), while each dilepton event provides two entries to (c).

FIG. 3. Result of the model-independent $W$ boson helicity fit. The ellipses are the $68\%$ and $95\%$ C.L. contours, the triangle borders the physically allowed region where $f_0$ and $f_+$ sum to one or less, and the star denotes the SM values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Uncertainty ($f_0$)</th>
<th>Uncertainty ($f_+$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top mass</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet recon eff.</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet energy cal.</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$ frag</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$ model</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background model</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template stat.</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If we fix $f_0$ to the SM value, we find
\[ f_0 = 0.619 \pm 0.090(\text{stat}) \pm 0.052(\text{syst}), \tag{3} \]
and if $f_0$ is fixed to the SM value we find
\[ f_+ = -0.002 \pm 0.047(\text{stat}) \pm 0.047(\text{syst}) \]
\[ (f_+ < 0.13 \text{ at 95\%C.L.}). \tag{4} \]

Equations (3) and (4) are directly comparable to previous measurements \cite{9, 10, 11, 12}.

In summary, we have measured the helicity fractions of $W$ bosons in $t\bar{t}$ decays in the $\ell +$ jets and dilepton channels with a model-independent fit and find $f_0 = 0.425 \pm 0.166(\text{stat}) \pm 0.102(\text{syst})$ and $f_+ = 0.119 \pm 0.090(\text{stat}) \pm 0.053(\text{syst})$. This is the first such measurement reported and is consistent at the 30\% level with the SM values of $f_0 = 0.697 \pm 0.012$ \cite{1} and $f_+ = 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ \cite{1}. We have also measured $f_0$ and $f_+$ in a model-dependent fit and find that they are consistent with the SM values.
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[15] Rapidity $y$ and pseudorapidity $\eta$ are defined as functions of the polar angle $\theta$ with respect to the proton beam and the parameter $\beta$ as $y(\theta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \ln[(1 + \beta \cos \theta)/(1 - \beta \cos \theta)]$ and $\eta(\theta) = y(\theta, 1)$, where $\beta$ is the ratio of a particle’s momentum to its energy.