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ABSTRACT

Simple Hydroxamic acids (XHAs) are salt free, organic compounds with affinities for hard cations such as Fe³⁺, Np⁴⁺, Pu⁴⁺ and have been identified as suitable reagents for the control of Pu and Np in advanced nuclear fuel reprocessing. Building upon previous work on the neptunium(IV)-formohydroxamic (FHA) acid system [1], a model that describes the hydrolysis of the acetohydroxamate moiety has been extended to include hydrolysis of bishydroxamatoneptunium(IV) complex. The model has been used to determine the rate constants for hydrolysis of mono- and bis-acetohydroxamatoneptunium(IV) at 25 °C, which were found to be $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

All commercial nuclear fuel reprocessing plants currently use the hydrometallurgical plutonium Uranium Extraction (PUREX) process to separate uranium and plutonium from used nuclear fuel. This process results in the isolation of plutonium from the reprocessing liquor, posing proliferation issues. Additionally, neptunium an actinide decay product that is not currently recycled as fuel, is found in a number of PUREX process streams including the separated U and Pu streams and the highly active waste stream. The former raises questions of process efficiency while the latter raises disposal issues. Several adaptations to PUREX have been suggested, one such adaptation is Advanced PUREX (or UREX+), which uses simple hydroxamic acids (XHAs) to coextract plutonium and neptunium from the reprocessing liquor leaving uranium unaffected [2], thereby addressing the main issues of PUREX.

Such XHAs are known to be useful due to their ability to complex hard cations such as Fe³⁺, Np⁴⁺ and Pu⁴⁺ as well as their ability to reduce metal ions; for example, they rapidly reduce Np(VI) to Np(V) [3]. These properties may allow for redox control of Np and Pu in the U/Pu separation column and remove the need for organic salts, which can create disposal problems further downstream. However, hydroxamic acids undergo hydrolysis in acidic media, which could have negative implications in advanced nuclear fuel reprocessing.

Hydrolysis of hydroxamates in acidic solutions is known to take place on the free ligand and when bound to a metal centre, although only the former is well characterized [4]. This paper seeks to better understand the kinetics of hydrolysis of the metal bound ligand, ultimately leading to the design of meaningful Advanced PUREX flowsheets.

Previous modeling work focused on hydrolysis of the monohydroxamatoneptunium(IV) complex using a semi analytical model [1]. Extension of the previous model to conditions where the bishydroxamatoneptunium(IV) complex is also present is non-trivial. The present work uses a numerical modeling approach to determine rates of hydrolysis of the mono and bis complexes.
In addition, acidity and ionic strength effects have been taken into account, beyond the scope of the earlier semi analytical model.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Np(IV) aqueous stock solutions were prepared as described previously [5]. Gram quantities of NpO₂ were dissolved by refluxing in strong HNO₃ until virtually complete dissolution was obtained. The resulting Np(VI) solution was reduced with substantial excess of Fe(II) (FeCl₂). The Np(IV) solution was purified from Fe and Cl ions by solvent extraction into 30 % tributyl phosphate (TBP) in odourless kerosene and backwashing into HNO₃. The acid concentration would then be adjusted by addition of HNO₃ and the solution sampled for Np analysis. All other reagents including HNO₃ (70 %, AnalR, BDH Chemicals Ltd., Poole, Dorest, UK) and AHA (Sigma-Aldrich Ltd UK) were obtained from reputable suppliers at the highest available purity and used as received.

AHA was stored at 4 °C in order to prevent decomposition; solutions were prepared immediately prior to experiments in order to prevent hydrolysis, by room temperature dissolution of an accurately weighed portion into distilled water.

UV-visible, near-infra-red (near IR) electronic absorption spectra (EAS) were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 19 spectrophotometer coupled by fibre optics to an external sample compartment for remote safe analysis of the α-active radioisotope ²³⁷Np. Solutions for the kinetic experiments of Np(IV)-AHA complex stability were prepared by mixing aliquots of Np(IV) stock, HNO₃ and AHA directly into an optical cuvette of pathlength 1cm; the complexant was added last. During the kinetic absorbance measurements the spectrometer was set to scan the range 350-1000 nm at variable time intervals. All spectra were corrected to account for baseline shifts between experiments [1].

gPROMS (General PROcess Modelling System) an advanced custom modeling software (Process Systems Enterprise, UK) was used to model the hydrolysis of free and Np bound hydroxamate. Rate constants for the hydrolysis of the mono- and bis-hydroxamatonpentunium(IV) complexes were fitted using the gPROMS parameter estimation function.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous work has shown that the Np(IV)-AHA system forms complexes with AHA:metal ratios from 1:1 to 3:1 (equations 1-3). Equilibrium constants (K₁-K₃) for these Np(IV)-AHA complexes have been obtained in non-complexing perchlorate media at constant ionic strength [5]. However, given that most hydrometallurgical fuel separations and recycling processes utilize an aqueous phase containing concentrated HNO₃, formation of neptunium(IV) mono- and di-nitrate (equations 4-5) complexes must be taken into account as they limit the availability of Np(IV) able complex with the hydroxamate. In this context, SIT coefficients for formation constants of Pu(IV) mono- and di-nitrate [6] complexes have been found to be good proxies for modeling Np(IV) nitrate complexation behavior and thermodynamics [7]; unfortunately, such relationships do not exist for the hydroxamato complexes. Further, HNO₃ partially dissociates in solutions of high ionic strength (equation 6) and this must be taken into consideration due to the effect on proton and nitrate concentration on ligand hydrolysis and cation complexation. Protonation of AHA (equation 7) occurs in highly acidic media and is known to have a rate limiting effect on hydrolysis of the hydroxamate complex [8]. Furthermore,
protonation of the mono and bis complex may occur, having a similar affect to that of the free AHA [9]. These reactions are summarized in equations 1 to 7 below 25 °C and I = 1.0 mol kg⁻¹

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HL} + \text{Np}^{4+} & \rightleftharpoons K_1 \text{NpL}^{3+} + \text{H}^+ \quad K_1 = 2750 \\
\text{HL} + \text{NpL}^{3+} & \rightleftharpoons K_2 \text{NpL}^{2+} + \text{H}^+ \quad K_2 = 5.49 \\
\text{HL} + \text{NpL}^{2+} & \rightleftharpoons K_3 \text{NpL}^{+} + \text{H}^+ \quad K_3 = 4.47 \times 10^{-2} \\
\text{Np}^{4+} + \text{NO}_3^- & \rightleftharpoons \beta \text{NpNO}_3^{3+} \\
\text{Np}^{4+} + 2\text{NO}_3^- & \rightleftharpoons \beta_2 \text{Np(NO}_3)_2^{2+} \\
\text{HNO}_3 & \rightleftharpoons K_{\text{AHA}} \text{H}^+ + \text{NO}_3^- \\
\text{HL} + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons K_{\text{HLH}^+} \text{HLH}^+ 
\end{align*}
\]

where HL is AHA; L is the hydroxamate (HA) ligand; \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are the formation constants of the mono- and di-nitrate complex; \( \beta_1^0 \) and \( \beta_2^0 \) are the formation constants of mono- and di-nitrate complex at infinite dilution; \( \alpha \) is the degree association of HNO₃; D is the Debye-Huckel term; \( \Delta \epsilon_1 \) and \( \Delta \epsilon_2 \) are the ion interaction correction coefficients; I is the ionic strength measured in molality; HLH⁺ is the protonated AHA molecule.

Equations 8 to 10 show the hydrolysis reactions that occur in solution, producing acetic acid and hydroxylamine, kinetic rate equations for these processes being given by equations 11-13, respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HL} + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow k_0 \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{NH}_3\text{OH}^+ \\
\text{NpL}^{3+} + 2\text{H}^+ & \rightarrow k_1 \text{Np}^{4+} + \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{NH}_3\text{OH}^+ \\
\text{NpL}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^+ & \rightarrow k_2 \text{NpL}^{3+} + \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{NH}_3\text{OH}^+ \\
\frac{d[\text{HL}]}{dt} & = k_0 [\text{HL}][\text{H}^+] \\
\frac{d[\text{NpL}^{3+}]}{dt} & = k_1 [\text{NpL}^{3+}][\text{H}^+] \\
\frac{d[\text{NpL}^{2+}]}{dt} & = k_2 [\text{NpL}^{2+}][\text{H}^+] 
\end{align*}
\]

The purpose of this work is to determine values of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) from experimental kinetic hydrolysis data in the context of the reaction scheme given by equations 9 and 10.

Kinetic hydrolysis experiments were conducted on the Np(IV)-AHA system as a function of [HNO₃] at fixed [AHA] and as a function of [AHA] at fixed [HNO₃]. Extent of hydrolysis was monitored by following the absorbance of the 1:1 and 2:1 complexes at 732 nm, figures 1a–1f.
Figure 1. Plots of experimental (○) and modelled (——) absorbance of the Np(IV)-AHA system at 732 nm, under conditions where the solution contains NpL$_3^+$ only (a-c) and under conditions where the solution contains NpL$_3^+$ and NpL$_2^{2+}$ (d-f). NpL$_3^+$ and NpL$_2^{2+}$ extinction coefficients used are 32 dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ and 38 dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$, respectively.
Rate constants were determined for these data using the parameter estimation facility of the custom process modeling software, gPROMS. This facility uses a maximum likelihood formulation to determine constants and performs statistical analysis on the results [10]. Simulated rate constants for the hydrolysis of the mono complex are given in Table I.

**Table I.** Experimental conditions and fitted rate constants for $k_1$ hydrolysis of the monohydroxamatoneptunium(IV) complex at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>[HNO$_3$]/mol dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>[Np$^{4+}$/ mol dm$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>[AHA]$_0$/mol dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Percentage of $[\text{Np}^{4+}]$ as $[\text{NpL}_2^{2+}]_0$/%</th>
<th>Fitted $k_1$/dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval/dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rate constants values of Table I were determined under conditions where the concentration of the bis complex is not significant in comparison to the concentration of the mono complex, allowing for more accurate evaluation of the latter within the fitting procedure. Values of rate constants for hydrolysis of the mono complex are in good agreement, the mean value being $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, which is the same as that determined for the Np(IV)-FHA system [1]. There is no evidence of ionic strength and acidity effects on the rate constant $k_1$ when [HNO$_3$] ≤ 3 mol dm$^{-3}$. Additionally, equation 11 can be seen to be a linear approximation of the dependence of the concentration of the hydrolysis entity HLH$^+$ on [H$^+$]. This dependence is more accurately described by the equilibrium of equation 7, suggesting that at high [H$^+$] the concentration of [HLH$^+$] will saturate i.e. the linearity of equation 8 will be lost. The consistency of $k_1$ values suggests a lack of any (HLH$^+$) saturation effects on the acidity range studied.

**Table II.** Experimental conditions and fitted rate constant $k_2$ for the hydrolysis of the bishydroxamatoneptunium(IV) complex at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>[HNO$_3$]/mol dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>[Np$^{4+}$/ mol dm$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>[AHA]$_0$/mol dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Percentage of $[\text{Np}^{4+}]$ as $[\text{NpL}_2^{2+}]_0$/%</th>
<th>Fitted $k_2$/dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval/dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$6.7 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fitted rate constants for hydrolysis of the bis complex are given in Table II with $k_2$ being found to have a mean value of $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$. The value of $k_1$ is less than that for the hydrolysis of the free ligand $k_0$ due to the positive charge of the complex, leading to the hydrolysis-preceding protonation step becoming less likely to occur. The value of $k_2$ is more than $k_1$ due to the charge of the bis complex being less than the mono complex, hence the protonation step occurs more easily. Furthermore, there are two protonation sites on the NpL$_2^{2+}$ complex making hydrolysis more likely to occur.

Individual values of $k_2$ in Table II are not in as good agreement with each other as those for the mono complex with the $k_2$ value obtained from Experiment Reference Number 4 being 50% higher than the value obtained from Experiments 6 and 9. Interestingly, Experiment 4 has a considerably higher initial concentration of AHA than that used in experiments 6 and 9,
suggesting that the apparent higher value of $k_2$ obtained may be due to: (i) reduction of Np(IV) to Np(III) driven by accumulation of hydroxylamine as a result of excess AHA hydrolysis; or (ii) presence of a mixed Np:NO$_3$:AHA complex. We expect that latter to be true as there is no evidence from UV-vis spectrophotometry to suggest presence of Np(III). The mixed Np(IV):NO$_3$:AHA complex will lead to a decrease in the positive charge of the complex, thus easier protonation than in the Np(IV)-AHA complex and a greater rate of hydrolysis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

For the first time, a value for the hydrolysis of the bishydroxamatoneptunium(IV) complex has been determined at 25 °C to be $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. A value for the hydrolysis of the monohydroxamatoneptunium(IV) at 25 °C has been determined to be $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, which is the same as that determined for the Np(IV)-FHA system [1]. Relative values of these rates parameters and their size with respect to $k_0$, have been interpreted in terms of coulomb repulsion. The rate constant $k_2$ is found to be largest at the highest concentration of AHA used in these experiments. This would suggest the presence of another complex which is most likely 1:1:1 Np(IV):NO$_3$:AHA.
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