Thermospheric wind during a storm-time large-scale traveling ionospheric disturbance
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[1] A prominent large-scale traveling ionospheric disturbance (LSTID) was observed in Japan during the major magnetic storm (Dst ~ -358 nT) of 31 March 2001. It was detected as enhancements of the 630-nm airglow and foF2, GPS-TEC variations, and a decrease in F-layer virtual height at 1700–1900 UT (0200–0400 LT). It moved equatorward with a speed of ~600 m/s. The decrease in the F-layer height was also detected by the MU radar at Shigaraki. Thermospheric wind variations were observed by the MU radar through ion drift measurement and by a Fabry-Perot interferometer (FPI) through a Doppler shift of the 630-nm airglow line at Shigaraki. The wind data show a turn of the meridional wind from -94 m/s (equatorward) to +44 m/s (poleward) during the LSTID, indicating that an intense poleward wind in the thermosphere passed over Shigaraki as an atmospheric gravity wave and caused the observed ionospheric features of the LSTID. Intense poleward wind was also detected at mesospheric altitudes (95–100 km) by the MU radar (through meteor echoes) and by the FPI (through the 558-nm airglow) with a delay of ~2 hours from the thermospheric wind, indicating downward phase progression of the wave. Generation of the observed poleward wind in the auroral zone was investigated using magnetic field data and auroral energy input estimated by the assimilative mapping of ionospheric electrodynamics (AMIE) technique. We suggest that simple atmospheric heating and/or the Lorentz force in the auroral zone do not explain the assimilative mapping of ionospheric electrodynamics (AMIE) technique. We suggest that simple atmospheric heating and/or the Lorentz force in the auroral zone do not explain the observed poleward wind enhancement.


1. Introduction

[2] Large-scale traveling ionospheric disturbances (LSTIDs) are characteristic disturbances in the storm-time ionosphere at midlatitudes. They have a horizontal scale of more than 1000 km and propagate equatorward with a velocity of 400–1000 m/s [Hunsucker, 1982; Hocks and Schlegel, 1996]. These disturbances are probably caused by thermospheric waves generated by the auroral-zone energy input [e.g., Hocks, 1968; Francis, 1975; Reddy et al., 1990; Rice et al., 1988; Pi et al., 2000; Oyama et al., 2001]. Ho et al. [1996, 1998] obtained a two-dimensional image of the LSTIDs as global total electron content (TEC) maps using the multipoint GPS network.

[3] Model calculations of LSTIDs also show equatorward propagation of thermospheric waves from the auroral-zone energy input [e.g., Richmond, 1978; Millward et al., 1993; Fuller-Rowell et al., 1994; Fujiwara et al., 1996; Balthazor and Moffett, 1999]. Richmond [1978] predicted that the waves propagating equatorward at higher altitudes of the thermosphere precede those at lower altitudes. However, there have been few observations of the vertical structure of LSTIDs. Emery et al. [1996, 1999], Buonsanto et al. [1997], and Lu et al. [2001] combined empirical models of auroral energy input [e.g., Kamide et al., 1981; Richmond and Kamide, 1988] with the thermosphere-ionosphere electrodynamics general circulation model (TIEGCM) to describe storm-time thermospheric disturbances and LSTID generation. Most of these calculations show dominant enhancement of “equatorward wind” and associated upward motion...
of the ionosphere in the midlatitudes during LSTID passage. The rise of the $F$ layer has been confirmed by observations [e.g., Ogawa and Kumagai, 1985; Tanaka, 1987; Hajkowicz and Hunsucker, 1987; Hajkowicz, 1990; Lee et al., 2002].

[4] Recently, Shiokawa et al. [2002] investigated a storm-time LSTID event of 15 September 1999, using airglow images, two-dimensional GPS-TEC maps, multipoint ionosondes, a midlatitude ionospheric model, and an empirical model of auroral energy input. They concluded that the drastic increases in airglow, TEC, and ionospheric peak density, and the decrease in ionospheric height, can be explained by the passage of "poleward" wind enhancement (250–300 m/s) associated with the LSTID. However, direct measurements of thermospheric wind were not available for the previous observations of LSTIDs.

[5] In this paper, we report a prominent LSTID event observed in Japan during the magnetic storm of 31 March 2001. Enhancements of airglow, TEC, and ionospheric peak density, and a decrease in ionospheric height, which were similar to those reported by Shiokawa et al. [2002], were observed. Moreover, drastic enhancement of poleward wind was measured by the Middle and Upper Atmosphere (MU) radar and a two-channel Fabry-Perot interferometer (FPI) for two altitude ranges in the thermosphere and in the mesopause region, associated with the special MU radar operation for the Mesosphere-Thermosphere Experiments for Coupling Studies (MTEC-S) campaign for 23 March to 2 April 2001. The full description of the campaign is given by N. Balan (Simultaneous MLT and thermospheric $F$ region observations during geomagnetic storms, submitted to *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 2003). Temporal variations of wind indicate a delay in the LSTID propagation at lower altitudes. To discuss the generation of the observed LSTIDs, we compare these midlatitude data with high-latitude data from ground magnetometers and from the assimilative mapping of ionospheric electrodynamics (AMIE) technique.

2. Observations

[6] Figure 1 shows the locations of the ground-based stations used in this paper. The airglow enhancement was observed at Shigaraki (34.8°N, 136.1°E, geomagnetic latitude (MLAT) = 25.4°N) and Sata (21.2° MLAT), where the fields of view of the airglow imaging (radius of 500 km) are shown. The ionogram data shown in Figure 7 were obtained at Wakkanai (36.5° MLAT), Kokubunji (26.5° MLAT), and Okinawa (16.3° MLAT).

[7] Figure 2 shows $Dst$ indices (provisional) during the magnetic storm of 30 March to 1 April 2001. The minimum $Dst$ was $-358$ nT at 0900 UT on 31 March. The LSTID event (as shown by the vertical dashed line) to be reported here was observed during the main phase of the storm.

2.1. Midlatitude Airglow

[8] Three all-sky airglow imagers were used in this study. They were developed in the Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory, Nagoya University, as part of the Optical Mesosphere Thermosphere Imagers (OMTIs) [Shiokawa et al., 1999, 2000]. Imagers 1 and 4 were set at Shigaraki, and imager 2 was set at Sata. They obtained airglow images at wavelengths of 630 nm (emission altitude: 200–300 km), 558 nm (90–100 km), and 777 nm (300–400 km) with time resolutions of 5.5–6.5 min.

[9] Figures 3b–3e show north-south cross sections (keograms) of airglow images at these airglow lines on 31 March 2001, at Shigaraki (Figures 3b and 3d) and Sata (Figures 3c and 3e).
(Figures 3c and 3e). The 630-nm images at Shigaraki are shown in Figure 3a. The intense 630-nm emission around the northern horizon of Shigaraki (Figures 3a and 3b) was probably from a stable auroral red (SAR) arc associated with low-energy electron precipitation from the inner magnetosphere. The SAR arc was also detected by an all-sky imager and photometers at Rikubetsu (43.5°N, 143.8°E, Hokkaido, Japan, near Wakkanai in Figure 1), though the sky at Rikubetsu was mostly cloudy. The 630-nm emission was drastically enhanced at 1700–1830 UT (0200–0330 LT) at Shigaraki and Sata, as shown in Figures 3b and 3c. The enhancement propagated southward at both stations. The southward propagation can be seen in the all-sky images in Figure 3a, though the emission around the edge of the images was high due to the line-of-sight integration (van Rhijn effect). The enhancement was also seen in the 558-nm emission, particularly at Sata (Figures 3d and 3e). The 558-nm emission was unusually intense in the southern part of Japan (south of Shigaraki and around Sata) throughout the night.

Figure 4 shows zenith airglow intensities extracted from Figure 3. The 630-nm enhancement was larger at Sata (∼550 R) than at Shigaraki (∼300 R). It is noteworthy that the 777-nm airglow slightly decreased at Shigaraki at this time. The 558-nm airglow intensity was unusually intense (more than 500 R) even before the LSTID passage over Sata. From the time difference of the 630-nm airglow peak between Shigaraki and Sata, we estimate the southward component of LSTID velocity to be ∼640 m/s.

2.2. Total Electron Content (TEC)

To show large-scale features of the LSTID, we made maps of TEC variations (Figure 5) during the 630-nm airglow enhancement of 31 March 2001. The GPS-TEC measurements were conducted by the Geographical Survey
The peak of the enhancement was around 1720 UT (∼44°N). Apart from this, an enhanced region of TEC gradually appeared around 38°N at 1705–1710 UT. It was significantly enhanced around 1720 UT (∼1 TECU = 1 × 10¹⁶ m⁻²) and moved equatorward continuously with a velocity of ∼370–560 m/s (=2–3° latitude/10 min). The latitudinal scale size of the TEC enhancement was ∼600–800 km, and the peak of the enhancement was ∼1–2 TECU.

As stated above, the TEC map shown in Figure 5 was obtained by subtracting 1-hour running averages of TEC variation from the raw TEC data in order to avoid instrumental biases. The instrumental biases vary significantly depending on the GPS receivers. The absolute TEC and TEC perturbations obtained at the location of Shigaraki are shown in Figures 6a and 6b, respectively. The TEC variations in Figure 6b are the same as those plotted in Figure 5. The absolute TEC in Figure 6a was estimated by correcting the instrumental bias for each receiver using TEC data from several GPS satellites at different elevation angles [Otsuka et al., 2002].

The TEC variations in Figure 6b show an enhancement of TEC with an amplitude of ∼2 TECU at around 1725 UT associated with the LSTID passage. The absolute TEC in Figure 6a shows a slight increase at 1700–1720 UT and a significant decrease from 32 TECU to 25 TECU at 1720–1800 UT. Thus, the actual TEC variations associated with the LSTID were not only the enhancement but also a subsequent significant decrease. The TEC enhancement of ∼2 TECU is ∼6% of the background (absolute) TEC value (∼32 TECU) at Shigaraki. From the latitudinal profile of the absolute TEC values (not shown), the TEC enhancement of 1–2 TECU over Japan (Figure 5) was ∼2–6% from the background TEC values.

2.3. Multipoint Ionosonde Observations

The ionospheric features during the LSTID were investigated using ionograms (every 15 min) obtained with the three routine ionosondes, whose locations are shown in Figure 1. Figure 7 shows virtual heights at 2 MHz and foF2 obtained at Wakkanai, Kokubunji, and Okinawa during the LSTID event of 31 March 2001. The virtual height at 2 MHz and foF2 correspond to the representative height of the nighttime bottomside F layer and the square root of the peak electron density of the F layer, respectively.

The virtual height gradually increased and foF2 gradually decreased for 0900–1400 UT (1800–2300 LT), which was expected from the recombination of F-region density after sunset. Then, the virtual height started to decrease after 1500–1600 UT (0000–0100) LT at all three stations. Note that the minimum of the virtual height at 1700–1900 UT came earlier at higher latitudes. After the minimum, the virtual height suddenly increased to more than 350 km at all stations. Just before the virtual height minimum, foF2 showed a local peak at each station, which also came from higher latitudes to lower latitudes between 1600 and 1800 UT. The time of the virtual height minimum corresponds to the time when the drastic enhancement of 630-nm airglow was observed. During these drastic variations of the ionosphere after midnight, spread-F signatures were observed at Wakkanai. From the time difference between the virtual height minimum at Wakkanai (1715 UT) and Okinawa (1815 UT), the equatorward velocity of the LSTID is estimated to be 580 m/s.

2.4. F-Region Density Profile Obtained by the MU Radar

A more detailed view of the ionospheric F-layer was obtained from the incoherent scattering measurement using the MU radar. Figure 8 shows contours of the electron density profile with a time resolution of 15 min observed by the MU radar at Shigaraki on 31 March 2001. At this time, the MU radar measurement had 1.5 hour cycle, with 1 hour for F-layer electron density and 0.5 hour for mesospheric meteor echoes. In Figure 8, the no-observation interval of 0.5 hour is linearly interpolated.
The $F$-layer height around sunset was 400–450 km, which is rather high compared with the normal $F$-layer peak height during magnetically quiet intervals [e.g., Kawamura et al., 2000]. The $F$-layer electron density gradually decreased and the peak height gradually increased after sunset from 1000 UT to 1300 UT, probably because of the recombination loss of $F$-layer electrons. Between 1300–1530 UT, the velocity of the height increase becomes greater. At 1530 UT, the $F$ layer started to descend to the local minimum at 1745 UT, when the 630-nm airglow enhancement was observed. The peak density temporarily increased at around 1645 UT. After 1745 UT, the $F$ layer almost disappeared, causing a sudden increase in peak height. These variations of electron density in Figure 8 are consistent with the variations of virtual height and $f_{o}F2$ shown in Figure 7.

3. Wind Measurements

For the LSTID event of 31 March 2001, several neutral wind data in the upper atmosphere were available. They were obtained by the MU radar and by a two-channel FPI. Both instruments are located at Shigaraki. The MU radar measured electron density and ion drift velocity in the $F$ layer, and neutral wind velocities in the mesosphere, alternatively, with a cycle time of 1.5 hours during the
MTEC-S campaign for 23 March to 2 April 2001. The observation intervals were 1 hour and 0.5 hour for the F layer and mesospheric wind measurements, respectively. The procedure for deriving F-layer neutral wind velocity from the ion drift measurement is described by Oliver et al. [1998]. The mesospheric wind measurement obtained through meteor echoes is described by Nakamura et al. [1991]. The two-channel azimuth-scan FPI measured wind velocities in the thermosphere and the mesopause region simultaneously with a time resolution of 1 hour through the Doppler shift of the 630-nm and 558-nm airglow lines [Shiokawa et al., 2003]. The emission altitudes of these airglow lines are 200–300 km (630 nm) and 90–100 km (558 nm).

3.1. Thermospheric Winds

Figure 9 shows northward wind velocities estimated from the F-layer ion drift measurement by the MU radar (thin and thick solid curves) and those measured by the FPI through the 630-nm airglow (thick dashed curve). The original time resolution of the MU radar data was 1.5 hours, while we took a running average of 4.5 hours (3 data points) throughout the observation interval to reduce statistical noise. The time resolution of the FPI was 1 hour. Because the moon was in the sky before midnight, the FPI measurement was started only after midnight.

In Figure 9, the wind variations on 31 March were different from those seen on other days of the MTEC-S campaign. The average wind variation for 23 March to 1 April (thin solid curve with vertical bars indicating standard deviations) shows a typical tidal variation (southward wind around midnight), though there was another magnetic storm on 28 March (minimum Dst $\sim -100$ nT). During the storm of 31 March, the MU wind (thick solid curve, 4.5-hour running average) shows large-amplitude wavelike variations, i.e., northward at 1200–1300 UT, highly southward at 14–16 UT, and northward at 1900–2100 UT. Considering that the northward (southward) wind pushes the F-layer upward (downward), these variations of meridional wind are fairly consistent with the observed height variations of the F layer in Figure 8 (a rapid rise of the F layer at 1300–1530 UT and a descent at 1530–1800 UT).

During the LSTID event of 1700–1900 UT, the MU wind shows a turn from southward to northward at 1600–1900 UT. The FPI wind (thick dashed curve) shows a steeper increase in the northward (poleward) wind for 1546–1746 UT (from $-94$ m/s to $+44$ m/s) with a higher time resolution of 1 hour. This sudden increase in poleward wind is consistent with the generation of LSTID signatures seen in the airglow, TEC, and height and peak density of the F layer, as discussed in section 5.1.
The zonal wind measured by the FPI (not shown) on 31 March was generally ~40 m/s westward after midnight, with an enhancement of about another 30 m/s westward only at 1746 UT. The zonal ion drift measured by the MU radar also shows an enhancement of the westward drift velocity at this time. The prevailing westward neutral wind was explained as the ionospheric disturbance dynamo described by Blanc and Richmond [1980]. Average storm-time neutral winds were recently reported by Fejer et al. [2002] on the basis of the FPI measurements made at Millstone Hill (42.6°N, 288.5°E, 54° MLAT).

3.2. Mesospheric Winds

Figure 10 shows the meridional wind profiles in the mesosphere obtained by the MU radar at Shigaraki through the meteor echoes on 30 March to 1 April 2001. The time resolution of the MU radar data was 1.5 hours (a 0.5 hour measurement every 1.5 hours). Diurnal tide variations with a downward phase progression were seen in this plot. Embedded in this diurnal variation was an unusually strong northward wind, observed at altitudes higher than 95 km (shown by the black arrow) at 1945 UT on 31 March which is just after the LSTID passage of 1700–1900 UT. The wind velocity increases with increasing altitude, and it exceeds 80 m/s at altitudes above 98 km.

Figure 11 shows northward wind velocities measured by the MU radar at an altitude of 96 km and those measured by the FPI through the 558-nm airglow, in the same format as that in Figure 9. Just after the LSTID passage of 1700–1900 UT, the MU wind (thick solid curve) drastically increased up to 74 m/s (poleward) at 1945 UT. This increase in wind was also seen in the FPI data, though the FPI measurement stopped after 1900 UT because of sunrise.
This increase in wind was largest compared with other days of the MTEC-S campaign, the average of which is shown by the thin solid curve, with vertical bars indicating standard deviations.

4. High-Latitude Disturbances

[26] To show geomagnetic activity during the LSTID event, we plotted the H-component magnetic field variations of 31 March 2001 in Figure 12. The data (210° magnetic meridian chain data, see Yumoto et al. [1996] for details) were obtained mostly in the Japanese meridian of 0200–0300 magnetic local times (MLTs) in Siberia (auroral zone, TIX, and CHD), southern Siberia (ZKY and MGD), Japan (MSR, RIK, and KAG), and Australia (BSV). Low-latitude data in the morning sector at Hawaii (EWA, 6.9 MLT) are also shown.

[27] The LSTID passage over Japan was 1700–1900 UT. At 1540–1700 UT, an intense substorm was observed with a negative H bay at TIX, CHD, ZTK, and MGD. Positive H excursions, which are usually seen in the low-latitude magnetograms associated with substorms, were observed at the low-latitude stations (MSR, RIK, KAG, EWA, and BSV) at this time. Note that the negative H bay was also observed at MGD (53.8° MLAT), indicating that the auroral electrojet current came down to lower latitudes during the main phase of the large magnetic storm.

[28] In order to see the energy input in the auroral zone, we show the Northern Hemisphere auroral Joule heating rate, cross polar-cap potential, and AU/AL indices calculated by the AMIE technique [Richmond and Kamide, 1988] in Figure 13. The AMIE procedure calculates these parameters from ground magnetometer data using the inversion technique by considering ionospheric conductivity. The high-latitude magnetometer data shown in Figure 12 are also used in this empirical model.

[29] The polar-cap potential and auroral Joule heating generally show high values of more than 100 kV and 500 GW during the plotted interval, because of the main phase of the large magnetic storm. The AU/AL indices in the top panel show an intense substorm that started at 1540 UT. This substorm causes the enhancements of auroral Joule heating from 500 GW to 1000 GW and the polar-cap potential drop from 120 kV to 150 kV, with even higher values around 1820 UT.

5. Discussion

5.1. Wind Measurement

[30] Figure 14 is a summary plot of the observed features of the LSTID of 31 March 2001. The LSTID at 1700–1900 UT (0200–0400 LT) observed in Japan was characterized by enhancements of 630-nm airglow and foF2.
GPS-TEC variations, and a decrease in F-layer virtual height. These characteristics are similar to those seen in the LSTID event of 15 September 1999, reported by Shiokawa et al. [2002]. We also measured F-layer electron density profiles observed by the MU radar for the present event. The profiles show a sudden descent of the F layer during the passage of the LSTID. During the descent of the F layer, poleward wind enhancement (from −94 m/s to +44 m/s) was observed in the thermosphere by the MU radar and the FPI. To our knowledge, they are the first combined measurements of LSTID images and neutral wind at midlatitudes.

As illustrated in Figure 9 of Shiokawa et al. [2002], the poleward wind pushes the ionosphere down along the field line at midlatitudes. This F-layer descent causes airglow enhancement by supplying the high-density plasma at the F-layer peak (∼400 km) to the airglow emission layer at ∼250 km. The F-layer peak electron density (foF2) temporally increases to keep the pressure balance along the field line (“compression” of the F layer). Such enhancement of the F-layer peak was also detected by the MU radar (Figure 8, around 1645 UT). The F-layer descent causes a pressure decrease at the high-altitude ionosphere, which results in the observed slight TEC enhancement by the supply of electrons from the higher altitudes and from the other hemisphere along the field line. The F-layer peak electron density and TEC are then significantly decreased because of the severe recombination at lower altitudes.

We detected the poleward wind enhancement not only in the thermosphere but also in the mesopause region, where it was delayed ∼2 h from that in the thermosphere. Such a delay at lower altitudes was predicted by Richmond [1978], who used numerical simulation of large-scale gravity waves in the thermosphere. He showed that the phase front of the equatorward moving waves at a 100-km altitude was delayed ∼20° in latitude from that at a 250-km altitude at midlatitudes (see Figure 7 of Richmond [1978]). This delay was because an upward propagating gravity wave (generated at an auroral-zone heat source at altitudes of 100–160 km in his simulation) has a downward propagating phase front [Hines, 1960]. The phase delay of ∼20° in latitude in his simulation causes a time delay of ∼1 h at altitudes between 401 and 209 km at 46°N. For the present event, the phase delay from a 250-km altitude to a 96-km altitude was ∼2 h at 35°N (Shigaraki). The amplitude of poleward wind enhancement at 209-km altitude is 1/6 of that at 401 km in the work of Richmond [1978]. For the present event, the wind enhancement at a 250-km altitude was 138 m/s (FPI measurement at 630 nm). The enhancement at the mesospheric altitudes would be well less than...
As 80 m/s considering the overlap of tidal variation in the meteor radar data.

Using data from the MU radar and the ionosonde network, Reddy et al. [1990] reported similar variations of the midlatitude ionosphere during the magnetic storm of 20–21 January 1989. They showed a rapid increase in the $F$-layer height from 1400 UT (370 km) to 1600 UT (600 km) and a sudden decrease in the height from 1600 UT (600 km) to 1730 UT (less than 350 km) observed by the MU radar (their Figure 4). These features are quite similar to those of the present event. Using the density variations obtained from the MU radar, Reddy et al. [1990] estimated the meridional wind velocity (by assuming that the east-west electric field = 0 mV/m) and the east-west electric field (by assuming that the meridional wind = 0 m/s). In the present paper, we show the meridional wind velocities from the direct measurements made by the MU radar and the FPI. Their result (Figure 9 of Reddy et al. [1990]) shows variation of meridional wind quite similar to our Figure 9, but the amplitude was much larger (±200 m/s). For the present event, we can safely conclude that the LSTID was not caused by the global east-west electric field imposed on the ionosphere from magnetospheric disturbances, because of the clear southward propagation of the observed ionospheric disturbances.

The slight decrease in the 777-nm airglow at the passage of the LSTID (Figure 4) can also be explained by the $F$-layer decrease, because the 777-nm emission intensity is proportional to the square of the $F$-layer peak electron density [e.g., Sahai et al., 1981]. However, the 777-nm intensity recovers and further increases after the LSTID passage, though the $F$-layer density does not recover in Figure 8. At midlatitudes, the 777-nm intensity is relatively weak compared with that at equatorial latitudes. Because the OH(9–4) $P_{2}(2)$ line (wavelength: 778.2 nm) falls into the pass band of our 777.4-nm filter, the observed 777-nm intensity is probably highly contaminated by the OH airglow emission from the mesopause region. The recovery of the 777-nm intensity after the LSTID passage may be because of this contamination.

The 558-nm airglow intensity was unusually intense on this night, particularly in the southern part of Japan (maximum 870 R at Sata). This intense 558-nm airglow cannot be explained by the $F$-region contribution of the 558-nm airglow in the equatorial anomaly region because the $F$-region contribution of 558-nm airglow is usually 20–30% of the 630-nm airglow. There seems to be some unknown mechanism that causes further excitation of O($^1S$) at midlatitudes during this storm.

It is interesting to note that the amplitude of the TEC variations in Figure 5 becomes larger at lower latitudes. This may correspond to the latitudinal gradient of background TEC because if the TEC variation is caused by meridional wind variations, it would become larger under the large-background TEC. However, there is no discernible TEC enhancement in the northern part of Japan before 1705 UT. In addition, the 630-nm airglow enhancement is larger at lower latitudes (Sata), as shown in Figure 4. The observed increase of the amplitudes in TEC and airglow at lower latitudes may suggest enhancement of the meridional wind variation, which may correspond to the nonlinear
development of a large-amplitude traveling atmospheric wave as it propagates from higher to lower latitudes.

5.2. Source in the Auroral Zone

[37] The atmospheric wave that caused the observed LSTID was probably generated by the auroral-zone energy input. From the UV auroral images obtained by the POLAR satellite (not shown), the auroral oval expanded down to the geographic latitude of ~60° (~50° MLAT) in the Japanese meridian at 1600 UT. This was confirmed by the fact that an intense auroral electrojet was observed at MGD (59.9° GLAT, 53.8° MLAT), as shown in Figure 12. If we take the equatorward velocity of the LSTID to be 600 m/s, it takes ~1.3 hours to propagate from 60° GLAT to 35° GLAT (Shigarak). Since the LSTID was observed at Shigarak at 1730–1800 UT, it was probably generated in the auroral oval around 1600–1630 UT, when an intense storm-time substorm took place.

[38] LSTID simulations with auroral energy input generally predict “equatorward” wind enhancement during the auroral energy input because of the expansion of the heated atmosphere. Some have predicted poleward wind enhancement at midlatitudes after passage of the initial equatorward wind, due to rarefaction [e.g., Richmond, 1978; Millward et al., 1993]. In the thermospheric wind data in Figure 14c, the equatorward wind enhancement was seen at 1300–1600 UT, before the poleward wind enhancement at 1700–1800 UT. However, it is impossible to connect this equatorward wind at 1300–1600 UT to the intense substorm starting at 1540 UT. The Joule heating estimated by AMIE shows a small enhancement at 1300–1400 UT in the auroral zone (Figure 14b), which may correspond to the equatorward wind enhancement observed in Japan at 1300–1600 UT (Figure 14c). However, it is not likely that this small auroral-zone heating at 1300–1400 UT caused the intense poleward wind enhancement observed in Japan at 1700–1800 UT through rarefaction.

[39] The other mechanism that can cause “poleward” wind during auroral energy input is the Lorentz force in the auroral zone. As discussed by Hunsucker [1982], the Lorentz force ($\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$), where $\mathbf{J}$ is the auroral current density and $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field intensity, can be transferred to the neutral gas via collisions. This force can be imposed in the auroral zone to cause LSTIDs, which propagate equatorward as traveling atmospheric waves. For the present event, however, the auroral-zone current $\mathbf{J}$ associated with the storm-time substorm around 1600–1630 UT is clearly westward, as shown by negative-bay variations in the H-component (northward component) magnetic field in Figure 12. The westward electrojet current causes an “equatorward” $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$ force in the northern hemisphere.

[40] From the timing and the propagation velocity, it is quite conceivable that the LSTID observed in Japan at 1700–1800 UT is related to the intense storm-time substorm at 1600–1630 UT. However, neither Joule heating nor the Lorentz force explains the generation of the observed LSTID (poleward wind enhancement) in the auroral zone. There may be some wave-wave coupling and modulation of gravity waves due to complicated spatial and temporal structures of auroral energy input, as discussed by Rice et al. [1988] and Jing and Hunsucker [1993]. To investigate this missing link between the middle and high latitudes, we need to compare our observations with global circulation models of the upper atmosphere using observed parameters of auroral energy input, as was done by Emery et al. [1996, 1999], Buonsanto et al. [1997], and Lu et al. [2001].

6. Conclusions

[41] We have reported a prominent LSTID event with neutral wind data in the midlatitude thermosphere and the mesopause region during the magnetic storm of 31 March 2001. The observed features of the LSTID and related phenomena are summarized as follows.

[42] 1. Drastic airglow enhancements of 100 → 400 R (Shigarak) and 200 → 750 R (Sata) propagating equatorward (~640 m/s) were observed by all-sky imagers at 1700–1900 UT (0200–0400 LT). The 558-nm airglow increased in association with the LSTID, while the 777-nm airglow slightly decreased. Intense 558-nm airglow (870 R) was observed in the southern part of Japan.

[43] 2. The GPS-TEC maps over Japan showed a slight enhancement and subsequent decrease in TEC with a meridional scale size of ~600–800 km, propagating equatorward with a velocity of ~370–560 m/s at 1710–1740 UT. The TEC variations were ~1–2 × 10^{16} m^{-2} (~2–6% of background TEC).

[44] 3. The F-layer virtual height at 2 MHz decreased from ~400 km to ~200 km at 1700–1900 UT at three ionosonde stations in Japan. The minimum of the virtual height moved equatorward with a velocity of ~580 m/s. The f0F2 values increased just before the virtual height minimum.

[45] 4. The descent of the F layer associated with the LSTID was clearly observed at 1530–1745 UT (0030–0245 LT) by the MU radar at Shigarak. Before the LSTID, the F-layer height rapidly increased at 1300–1530 UT.

[46] 5. The thermospheric neutral wind was estimated from the ion drift measurement by the MU radar and was measured by the FPI through the 630-nm airglow. The data indicated a sudden enhancement of the poleward neutral wind of ~140 m/s at 1700–1800 UT during the LSTID passage. The meridional wind showed large-amplitude wavelike variations throughout the night (northward at 1200–1300 UT, highly southward at 1400–1600 UT, and northward at 1900–2100 UT).

[47] 6. The mesospheric neutral wind (around an altitude of ~96 km) was measured by the MU radar through meteor echoes and by the FPI through the 558-nm airglow. The data also showed sudden enhancement of the poleward wind at 2000 UT, ~2 hours after the poleward wind enhancement in the thermosphere.

[48] 7. Ground magnetic field data and AMIE outputs showed that an intense substorm took place at 1540–1700 UT, which corresponds to the onset time of the LSTID estimated by backtracking the LSTID propagation observed in Japan to the auroral zone.

[49] These observed features of the LSTID are similar to those reported by Reddy et al. [1990] and Shiokawa et al. [2002]. In addition, this work reports the first simultaneous measurement of the neutral wind and LSTID images at midlatitudes. The 630-nm airglow enhancement, 777-nm airglow decrease, F-layer descent, TEC variations, and
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temporal increase of foF2 are probably caused by the observed poleward wind enhancement in the thermosphere, as suggested by Shiokawa et al. [2002] (their Figure 9). The observed time delay of the mesospheric wind enhancement is consistent with the vertical phase front distribution of the upward propagating gravity wave, as predicted by Richmond [1978]. However, simple atmospheric heating and/or the Lorentz force in the auroral zone do not explain the observed poleward wind enhancement at midlatitudes.

A comparison with global thermospheric models will be needed for further investigation.
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