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Abstract: A flexible embedded test solution for high-speed analogue front-end subsystems is presented. A novel concept of a flexible test solution that addresses virtual component test requirements in particular is introduced. The integration and application of the non-invasive digital test solution is demonstrated for a representative design. Its area overhead is assessed for different depths in on-chip test evaluation.

1 Introduction

For complex system-on-chip (SoC) implementations, design reuse is of crucial importance towards achieving productivity and meeting time-to-market requirements. This is especially the case for analogue and mixed-signal components, as the number of analogue designers is not increasing and neither is their productivity [1]. Due to limited design engineering resources, importing third-party designs is becoming common practice for digital structures. Here, the third-party design house provides what is referred to as a virtual component to the system integrator. This is essentially a reusable design that is also commonly referred to as an IP (intellectual property) block, reflecting the fact that the IP provider retains ownership of the design IP. However, virtual component insertion involves new challenges, such as tool development for reuse driven block and system characterisation, design data management and flexible functional or physical hierarchy management [2]. The main challenge for the IP provider is the design of a relatively process-independent circuit, where technology retargeting is relatively straightforward. At the same time, SoC integrators have to synchronise design schedules for both analogue and digital system components, which demands the integration of analogue and mixed-signal virtual components. Testing is predicted to be the most difficult aspect in mixed-signal design, especially for imported virtual components [3]. Testability of such virtual components has to be seen as part of the specifications guaranteed and provided with the IP block. The integration of on-chip tester functions can provide more independence from external tester resources, which is of particular interest where the analogue virtual component is integrated into a mainly digital system. However, the employed test solution has to be independent of surrounding SoC components to maintain reusability, should be scalable to similar virtual components of alternative specification and incorporate flexibility in test application and the extent of using on-chip tester functions. In other words, any test solution for a particular circuit type has to be reusable in itself, where it can be tailored to particular design specifications, and to balance the tradeoff between implementation cost of tester functions and achieved benefits in test cost savings.

This paper presents such a flexible embedded test solution for high-speed analogue front-end subsystems containing a programmable gain amplifier and an A/D converter. This type of architecture has been targeted as it is one of the most widely used analogue and mixed-signal components embedded in mainly digital SoCs. In high-speed analogue front-ends, production test challenges are mainly seen in the increasing performance gap between the circuit-under-test (CUT) and the external tester [2]. This performance gap becomes most evident in high-speed or high-resolution interfaces, where either the speed of required data capture or the core test time is escalating.

2 Review

The built-in self-test (BIST) for analogue and mixed-signal components has been identified as one of the major requirements for the deep submicron IC test [2, 4–6]. The main advantage of BIST is to reduce test access requirements and to address the growing performance gap between the CUT and tester [2]. This performance gap is expected to eventually enable the use of cheaper, digital-only testers that will help with the integration of analogue virtual components, including BIST, for digital SoC applications [2]. It is expected that the SoC integrator will be able to avoid the use of expensive mixed-signal automatic test equipment. Also, for multicore modules, on-chip test support hardware is essential to migrate the test of analogue circuitry to the wafer level. It is expected that the re-use of BIST structures will significantly reduce the escalating test generation cost, test time and the time-to-market for a range of devices. Full BIST has to include both test stimulus generation and output response analysis circuitry; in the majority of cases, partial BIST approaches include output response analysis structures implemented on-chip and rely on external test stimulus generation. As mentioned above, embedded test solutions will provide an inherent flexibility in the use of on-chip and off-chip tester resources.

Regarding the conventional A/D converter test, device performance can be verified in terms of static performance parameters, such as offset error, gain error, differential
nonlinearity (DNL) and integral nonlinearity (INL), through assessment of the transfer function. Instead of stepping a DC voltage through the converter input range, continuous signals are employed in the static performance production test. Two A/D converter test methodologies are widely in use today which measure code transition levels, namely feedback loop testing [7, 8] and histogram testing [9-14]. A/D converter performance can also be verified in terms of dynamic performance parameters, such as signal-to-noise and distortion ratio (SINAD), total harmonic distortion (THD), etc. Generally, the aim in dynamic performance parameter testing is to identify the signal components at the A/D converter output, such as the converted input signal, harmonics and random noise, and to compute performance parameters. For the majority of these parameters and for determination of signal components, a transformation from time domain to frequency domain is required and an FFT analysis is performed [11, 14]. However, in the sine wave fitting methodology [15, 16] some dynamic performance parameters can also be determined in the time domain from an A/D converter model generated to match a data record taken from a real converter. These conventional A/D converter test methodologies have been standardised in the ‘IEEE Standard 1241–2000 for terminology and test methods for analog-to-digital converters’ [17].

Regarding a potential on-chip test solution for high-speed converters, each test technique has a number of limitations. Histogram testing, for example, does generally require a large amount of data to be stored and evaluated on-chip while requiring a long test time. For feedback loop based solutions, the oscillation around a single transition edge can be difficult to achieve due to feedback loop dynamics. Sine wave fitting will require some significant area overhead for the on-chip computation, as do FFT-based solutions. However, BIST techniques have been published for the majority of conventional A/D converter test methodologies.

BIST techniques that allow performing an FFT analysis on-chip [18, 19] rely on the availability of a DSP core. Some BIST approaches employ more defect-oriented measurements avoiding the extraction of converter performance parameters [20, 21]. BIST techniques for static performance parameter evaluation have been published based on the feedback loop methodology [22] and histogram testing [23, 24]. A BIST technique targeting high-resolution converters is published in [25, 26], where a polynomial fitting algorithm is employed to the converter’s ramp response to determine gain error, offset error, and second- and third-order harmonics.

3 Test requirements of high-speed analogue front-end architecture

Previously published work by the authors describes the investigation into realistic failure modes and determination of test requirements for the analogue front-end circuitry (Fig. 1). In-depth testability analyses using fault simulation techniques have been performed on a representative analogue front-end. Fault lists were generated using inductive fault analysis [27] and included shorts, opens, floating gate transistors and gate oxide shorts. Parametric faults have been considered in the form of offset and gain deviations at internal operational amplifiers beyond the normal process spread.

For this purpose a 32-gain-set automatic gain control circuit containing adjustable gain amplifier, a reconfigurable high-pass filter and a level shifter has been studied. Further details and results are provided in [28]. To achieve good test quality, this part of the analogue front-end requires the assessment of gain step sizes and output voltage swing. Conventionally, gain step sizes are tested based on FFT analyses performed in all gain settings. An initial on-chip test solution evaluating average analogue front-end output values for DC input signals in all gain sets is published in [29], which led to a reduction in test time by 70% without degradation in test quality. The test solution can also be extended by reconfiguration-based test stimulus generation techniques [30]. An additional testability analysis has been performed on a 6-bit folding and interpolating A/D converter. Its failure modes and test requirements are described in [31], where the severity of failure modes is analysed in terms of static and dynamic performance parameters. This particular architecture was chosen, as it allows conclusions to be drawn on the test requirements of other high-speed architectures, such as full-flash, folding, interpolating and subranging A/D converters that may or may not include internal offset compensating resistor ladders at differential amplifier outputs [32].

The requirements for the analogue front-end can be summarised as follows:

- A static performance parameter test set achieves superior test quality compared to a dynamic performance parameter test set. This is mainly attributed to untested regions of the converters transfer function in dynamic performance parameter testing (including overflow and underflow computation) and potential test escapes caused by code sparkles. The converter has to be tested for DNL, INL, gain error and monotonicity. The automatic gain control circuit requires testing of gain step sizes and its output voltage swing. The test methodology satisfying the requirements for the flexible embedded test solution is described in the following Section.

4 Test methodology

The investigation into the front-end test requirements identified potential test escapes for the adaptation of any BIST technique mentioned in Section 2. Additionally, most of these do not satisfy the virtual component test requirements, which can be summarised as follows:

- embedded test solution should be scalable for particular CUT specifications
- test solution that incorporates a flexible degree of on-chip tester resources allows balancing the tradeoff between area overhead and expected test cost savings
- adjustable depth of testing is desirable
- test solution has to be independent from surrounding components in the SoC
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any test solution has to be provided with some specification of achieved test quality

The test methodologies generated in this work have been based on the results of the failure mode and testability analyses. They are described separately for both analogue front-end components.

4.1 Automatic gain control circuit
Gain step sizes can be calculated from the change in average analogue front-end output value when the gain set is incremented while a constant DC voltage is applied to the input. The test approach is illustrated in Fig. 2 (32 gain sets are assumed). The DC voltage to apply for gain step size testing has to be determined in such a way that the automatic gain control circuit output signal (AGC output) lies within the A/D converter input signal swing for all gain sets. Offset and noise effects have to be accounted for at either end of the scale, as represented by $V_{OS}$ in Fig. 2. Starting at the lowest gain set ($S = 0$), a certain number of A/D converter output codes has to be averaged over time ($F_0$). When the gain set has been incremented and the next average A/D converter output ($F_1$) is determined, the corresponding gain step size can be calculated. The loop has to be continued until the last gain step size is calculated. This test routine is tolerant to noise effects due to the measurement of average output values for constant input voltages. The test is also tolerant to A/D converter offset effects, as the assessment of gain step sizes relies on relative (not absolute) measurements. Offset effects at the automatic gain control input can be eliminated using calibration techniques. For differential architectures, the measurement can be conducted for a positive and a negative DC input of identical absolute value. The difference in gain step sizes computed for the positive and negative DC input voltages will be independent of amplifier input offset effects. The test time depends on the A/D converter speed, the number of output samples to average in each time slot $t_s$ and the number of gain sets to test.

For output voltage swing testing, a similar test routine can be defined where the gain set is kept constant while a ramp stimulus is applied. The test approach is illustrated in Fig. 3, where the time slot averages are represented by $F_x$. The ramp stimulus has to lead to an output response that extends beyond the A/D converter input signal swing to tolerate offset and noise effects (represented in Fig. 3 by $V_{os}$). The output voltage swing can be determined by accumulating the differences in time slot averages ($A f_x$). Alternatively, an approximated value can be obtained by accumulating the number of time slots where the output average is of a certain minimum/maximum difference compared to the previous time slot’s average. The test is tolerant to noise due to the measurement of converter output averages. Offset effects can be tolerated when the ramp stimulus is defined as described above. The circuitry required for on-chip output response analysis of both, gain step size and output voltage swing test is introduced in Section 5.

4.2 A/D converter
For the A/D converter test approach, two major principles can be identified. First, to reduce test time and to avoid non-coherent sampling, the code width and INL data are computed for a single ramp input stimulus. Secondly, to achieve minimum area overhead, a small test evaluation window surrounding the converter response must be adjusted concurrently during the test sequence instead of permanently computing code occurrences over the entire A/D converter output range.

The principle of the test theme facilitating a small code count window is illustrated in Fig. 4 for a 6-bit converter. The aim is to count code occurrences in a small code region surrounding the converter’s response to a rising ramp stimulus. Code counts within a window surrounding an expected value $C$ (held in a 6-bit counter) are accumulated in a string of $x$-bit counters contained in a code count accumulator macro. Each counter in the string holds the number of occurrences for one particular code and increments its content on code identification. Assuming a chain of five $x$-bit counters as illustrated, the expected converter output value $C$ is continuously updated to adjust the code count window covered by the code count accumulator macro ($C−2$ to $C+2$). For example, circuitry can be implemented within the window control macro to detect a sequence of two consecutive converter outputs ($D$) larger than $C$. When this event occurs and the corresponding code count has been updated, the 6-bit counter holding $C$ has to be incremented. Also, a load operation has to be instructed on the chain of $x$-bit counters, which effectively shifts all five code counts towards the code count sequence output. Each time such a load operation is executed, the code count previously held in counter $Cnt_E$ can be analysed.

The corresponding flow chart is illustrated in Fig. 5. After test initialisation, a ramp stimulus extending beyond the input signal swing is applied to the A/D converter. The code counting initiates when the first valid converter output is detected (either non-underflow or larger than all-zero where no underflow flag is available). The window control macro’s comparator (COMP, Fig. 4) enables one of the $x$-bit counters to increment the corresponding code count.
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**Fig. 2** Gain step size test methodology
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**Fig. 3** Output voltage swing test methodology
the value expected for the next code count needs to be updated, the load operation and incrementing of C needs to be executed. The completed code count can be analysed. This loop continues until the end of the conversion range is detected, either in the form of an overflow flag or the all-one output (where no overflow computation is implemented). During the test, the window control macro sets a window overflow flag if the converter output D leaves the code count window. The test can be aborted, as test failure is computed. Reasons for window overflow can be found in non-monotonic behaviour, code sparkles or excessive INL.

Regarding the design of an embedded window control macro and code count accumulator macro, the embedded test solution has some key specifications to adapt the test routine to particular A/D converters. Compared to Fig. 4, the number of bits in the code counters, the number of code counters in the code count accumulator macro and the complexity of the required comparator in the window control macro do not only have a major influence on area overhead but also to enable tailoring of the structure to the converter under test. The size of the code count window is determined by the number of counters in the code count accumulator macro. The size of the counters within that macro limits the number of code occurrences that can be accumulated. The required size of the code count window is mainly influenced by the extend to which noise effects have to be tolerated at the converter output. Higher-resolution converters, for example, may exhibit noise levels in excess of 1 LSB, which can require the code count window to cover more than five codes. To maintain test accuracy, the input stimulus slope can be decreased to effectively increase the ideal code counts and improve noise averaging. In such cases the window control macro needs to assess a running average of the converter output to determine when the code count window has to be adjusted. Obviously the test stimulus accuracy requirements will become more stringent.

The converter’s DNL test threshold and the required measurement accuracy have a major impact on the size of the code counters. Assuming a DNL test threshold of ±1 LSB, a measurement resolution of 0.2 LSB and an ideal ramp stimulus, 4-bit counters have to be implemented to compute code counts ranging from 0 to 15. The ramp stimulus has to lead to eight code counts in the ideal case. Obviously these are also the key parameters that determine the kernel test time for a single ramp test evaluation, as discussed in Section 6. If the test stimulus is generated on-chip using techniques described in [30] or can only be applied through the automatic gain control block, fault masking can be avoided by evaluating A/D converter tests in more than one gain set. However, for the devices studied in this work the potential for such fault masking is negligible, not only due to process and defect statistics but also due to the different failure modes exhibited by either block.
5 Flexible embedded test solution

The integration of the test methodology into a flexible embedded test solution and its general application is described in this Section, followed by some information on the application to a particular analogue front-end.

5.1 Application of test methodology and implementation of flexibility

The flexible embedded test solution for high-speed analogue front-end circuits needs to be scalable for particular front-end circuit specifications, such as the resolution of the A/D conversion. To satisfy the requirements for testable analogue virtual component design, the test solution also has to provide some flexibility to balance the tradeoff between implementation cost and test cost savings. This Section presents the flexible embedded test solution at a functional level. The test solution’s features for A/D converter testing are described first followed by the automatic gain control testing capabilities.

The A/D converter test approach has been introduced in the previous Section. However, the test evaluation itself needs to be defined in more detail. The strategy described in this Section provides a high degree of flexibility in the implementation and application of the embedded test solution. The window control macro and the code count accumulator macro (Fig. 4) implement a code count computation on-chip. As known from conventional histogram testing, the code counts support the calculation of static performance parameters. Figure 6 provides an illustration of the embedded test solution’s flexibility in implementation and application.

In its most basic form, the A/D converter test approach can be realised on-chip as outlined above where code counts are computed within the on-chip code count accumulator macro. When the code count window is updated and new code count data is available, data can be captured for processing in off-chip tester resources to extract the particular code’s DNL and INL. Accumulation and computation of maxima in these values yield absolute DNL and INL which can be compared to test thresholds for pass/fail decisions. In situations where the extracted values fall onto the pass/fail boundary, multiple ramp sections may be applied to the A/D converter to accumulate conventional histogram data sets off-chip.

Alternatively, the code count analysis can be implemented on-chip, in the form of a DNL and an INL accumulator macro. This provides a test solution even more independent of external tester resources and avoids future problems caused by the growing performance gap between ATE and CUT. The functionality within these macros can range from simple DNL and INL extraction for each separate code (off-chip computation of absolute DNL/INL, comparison to thresholds etc.) to an on-chip comparison of absolute DNL/INL to test thresholds. Multiple ramp tests may be applied to the CUT in any particular implementation.

For gain step size and output voltage swing testing of an automatic gain control circuit an optional dedicated DC measurement and gain step accumulator macro is included (Fig. 6). Generally speaking this macro allows the calculation of the average A/D converter output over a number of samples by employing an integration process. Furthermore the macro can include circuitry to compare two measured averages to each other, extract the difference and compare that difference to test thresholds. These analysis steps are required for gain step size testing and the assessment of the output voltage swing. The functionality is identical to the circuitry presented in [29]. However, an implementation with significantly lower area overhead has been designed. In addition to the macros mentioned so far, a clock generation and test control macro has to be added.

The execution of the A/D converter test is illustrated for an ideal device in Fig. 7. The upper plot illustrates the converter output D and the expected value C for a small ramp stimulus section. In this example, the value for C is incremented when two consecutive A/D converter output samples are larger than its current value. The shaded area surrounding both curves represents the code range covered by the growing performance gap between ATE and CUT.

**Fig. 6** Block diagram of flexible embedded test solution
by the code count window. The A/D converter clock \( C_a \) is depicted below, as well as the clock signal \( C_c \) generated within the clock generation macro and supplied to the code count accumulator macro. Note that two clock pulses occur within one A/D converter clock period. While the first clock pulse controls the code counting process, the second pulse is used to trigger the load operation in the code count accumulator macro. At the bottom of the diagram the code counting and counter loading progress is illustrated for five counters (\( Cnt_A \) to \( Cnt_E \) as in Fig. 4). Within each A/D converter clock period, one counter is incremented. In the illustrated ideal case this is mostly counter \( Cnt_C \) when the converter output is of the expected value \( D = C \). A \textit{LOAD} signal becomes active when two consecutive converter outputs are larger than the expected value. As a result, code counts are shifted towards the code count sequence output. This data is available for code width, DNL and INL computation.

The implementation of the flexible embedded test solution is described in the following Section using the maximum degree of on-chip tester resources for DNL and INL accumulation, indication of missing bins and non-monotonicity, gain step size testing and output voltage swing assessment.

5.2 Implementation and application of the test solution

The on-chip tester macros required for the evaluation of the analogue front-end test set have been introduced above. A more detailed block diagram is provided in Fig. 8. In this particular case, the flexible embedded test solution has been designed for an analogue front-end containing a 32-gain-set automatic gain control circuit and a 6-bit A/D converter. Details of the analogue front-end originally analysed in [28, 31] and the designed test solution are listed in Table 1. Apart from the indication of window overflow discussed above, the designed circuitry also indicates overflow in DNL and INL computation and allows test application for both rising and falling ramp stimulus sections.

The flexible embedded test solution illustrated in Fig. 8 has been designed at gate level. Digital XSPICE models for a standard CMOS process were used. A particular process was selected to match the folding and interpolating A/D converter technology. Simulation results show that the designed embedded test solution has a maximum clock frequency of 330 MHz (identical to the maximum converter sampling frequency). Some simulation results are presented in the following Section.

The area overhead for the test solution with maximum on-chip tester capabilities is equivalent to 1214 standard CMOS two-input NAND gates. The area overhead contribution is identified for each test solution macro in Fig. 9. Clock generation circuitry and macros to control the test routines account for 32% (387 gates) of the maximum area overhead. If a minimum degree of on-chip tester hardware is selected that computes the code count sequence only, the overall area overhead corresponds to 691 gates. The on-chip extraction of DNL and INL data requires an additional 314 gates. Circuity for automatic gain control tests accounts for 17% of the maximum area overhead. Test times and simulation results are provided in the following Section.

6 Simulation results

To test the automatic gain control block for gain step sizes, a DC voltage is applied to the primary analogue front-end input. Starting at the lowest gain set, the DC measurement and gain set accumulator macro integrates a defined number of A/D converter output codes at maximum clock speed. In the particular simulation illustrated in Fig. 10, 6-bit converter outputs are integrated to form the 10-bit average accumulated in latch A (Fig. 8). The 10-bit average therefore provides a measurement resolution of 0.0625 LSB and dithering techniques can be employed to achieve this resolution. When a gain set’s average has been computed, the difference from the previous gain set’s average output is calculated in a 10-bit adder. The test signature \( GSno \) is incremented when the gain step size is of a certain minimum size and the latest average is moved to latch B. The test is completed when all gain step sizes have been determined. The test signature for good devices with 32 gain sets is provided as a pass/fail flag (\( GSpass \)). The plot for the content of latch A (\( LaA \) in Fig. 10) illustrates the accumulation of output averages for all 32 gain sets while latch B (\( LaB \) in Fig. 10) provides the previous gain set’s average. In the ideal case, the test signature \( GSno \) is incremented with each gain set from 0 to 31.
Compared to the block diagram in Fig. 8, the DC measurement and gain set accumulator macro has been designed using only one 10-bit adder that is reconfigured for average accumulation and gain step size calculation. With the reconfiguration of the 10-bit adder, the test time can be determined as follows: 16 clock periods are required for average accumulation and four additional clock periods have to be spent on the calculation of the difference in
Therefore, the gain step test time $t_{GSST}$ can be given as

$$t_{GSST} = \frac{GS}{f_S} (N_S + 4)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $f_S$ is the maximum A/D conversion rate, $GS$ is the number of gain sets and $N_S$ is the number of A/D converter outputs to average for each gain set. For the representative design, with $f_S = 330 \text{ MHz}$, $GS = 32$ and $N_S = 16$, the test time results to 1.94 $\mu$s.

In output voltage swing tests, the DC measurement and gain step accumulator macro performs a similar algorithm while the gain set is kept constant and a ramp stimulus is applied to the primary input of the analogue front-end. The computed test signature $GSno$ corresponds to the number of time slots with a verified minimum difference compared to the previous time slot’s average. The output voltage swing test time $t_{OVST}$ can be given as:

$$t_{OVST} = \frac{TS}{f_S} \cdot (N_S + 4)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $f_S$ is the maximum A/D conversion rate, $TS$ is the number of time slots covered by the ramp response and $N_S$ is the number of A/D converter outputs to average for each time slot. For the representative design, with $f_S = 330 \text{ MHz}$, $TS = 32$ and $N_S = 16$, the test time results to 1.94 $\mu$s.

The A/D converter ramp test approach has been introduced above. Simulation results for the representative analogue front-end circuit are illustrated in Fig. 11, where the upper graph shows the A/D converter output $D$ and its expected value $C$. The ramp input stimulus is defined to extend into converter underflow and overflow and to lead to an ideal code count of eight for all 64 code bins. The shaded region surrounding the staircase waveform for $C$ represents the code count window that allows computation of codes occurring in the range from $C/2$ to $C+2$. Each time the expected value $C$ is incremented, the load operation is performed on all code counters and one code count value becomes available for analysis. The bottom graph illustrates the generated code count sequence available for DNL and INL computation at the output of the code count accumulator macro ($CC_{data}$ in Fig. 8). When A/D

### Table 1: Specifications for flexible embedded test solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description and comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/D converter resolution</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>number of bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling frequency</td>
<td>$f_S$</td>
<td>330 MHz</td>
<td>maximum A/D converter frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of gain sets</td>
<td>$GS$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>number of gain sets in the automatic gain control circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNL test threshold</td>
<td>$DNL$</td>
<td>$\pm 0.5$ LSB</td>
<td>as determined for representative design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL test threshold</td>
<td>$INL$</td>
<td>$\pm 1$ LSB</td>
<td>as determined for representative design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal code count</td>
<td>$CC_{ideal}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ideal code width in number of samples for ramp stimulus used in A/D converter test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNL measurement range</td>
<td>$-1$ LSB to 0.875 LSB</td>
<td></td>
<td>range before DNL overflow occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL measurement range</td>
<td>$-4$ LSB to 3.875 LSB</td>
<td></td>
<td>range before INL overflow occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNL/INL resolution</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2$ LSB</td>
<td></td>
<td>accuracy of code count/width computation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum noise level</td>
<td>1 LSB</td>
<td></td>
<td>maximum amplitude of acceptable noise effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 9** Area overhead (in two-input NAND gate equivalents)

**Fig. 10** Simulation of gain step size test
converter overflow is detected and the test is completed, the code counts for the three highest converter bins need to be shifted out of the code counter chain. The plot illustrates 64 code counts equal to eight.

The A/D converter single ramp test time \( t_{\text{SRT}} \) can be given as

\[
t_{\text{SRT}} = \frac{(2^N + 2 \cdot \text{OS}) \cdot \text{CC}_{\text{ideal}}}{f_S}
\]

where \( f_S \) is the maximum A/D conversion rate, \( \text{CC}_{\text{ideal}} \) is the ideal code count corresponding to 1 LSB, \( N \) is the resolution of the converter and \( \text{OS} \) is the extend of offset in LSB to tolerate (at either end of the ramp stimulus). For the representative design, with \( f_S = 330 \text{ MHz} \), \( \text{OS} = 1 \text{ LSB} \) and \( \text{CC}_{\text{ideal}} = 8 \), the test time for a single ramp stimulus results to 1.6 \( \mu \text{s} \).

Failure mode detection capabilities have been assessed through simulation. Typical failure modes, some of which are discussed in [31], were modelled within the input stimuli to the ideal converter model. Figure 12 illustrates the code count sequence, DNL and INL computation for a converter suffering from nonlinearity in the centre of its input range. Such failure modes affecting a region of output codes can be exhibited by interpolating converters in particular. Fault F27 is chosen here, as it is an example for a more difficult to detect failure mode with DNL values well within the tolerance window. The test evaluation (Fig. 12) allows clear identification of the fault-affected code region in the centre of the input voltage swing, where code widths decrease to a lowest count of five. The region of codes with a small negative DNL leads to the computation of a detectable absolute INL of \(-1.75\ \text{ LSB}\). This kind of failure mode can be exhibited by other converter architectures employing compensation resistor ladders. However, where such structures are not included, similar underlying faults will have a harder effect on the code width of one particular code easily extracted as DNL failure.

Table 2 provides a summary of test results obtained from the application of the flexible embedded test solution to a selection of failure modes. The ‘window overflow’ column lists whether a fault leads to code count window overflow. Where this is the case, the test will normally be aborted without further analysis of other test results. Similarly, nonlinearity overflow in DNL and/or INL will result in test abortion. The three following columns list the measured values for absolute DNL and INL in LSB and indicate whether a missing bin is detected. The last column states if the particular failure mode passes the test.

Catastrophic failure modes (Gr. 3) are all detected due to overflow in the code count window. Faults F210, F219 and F249 are examples for potential test escapes in conventional dynamic performance tests, as they cause failure in untested regions of the transfer function and difficult to detect code.

Fig. 11  Simulation for ideal A/D converter

Fig. 12  Code count sequence and signature analysis for fault F 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault</th>
<th>Window Overflow</th>
<th>DNL</th>
<th>INL</th>
<th>Pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F210</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F219</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F249</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F27</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F23</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F219</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F249</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F27</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2: Test results for a selection of representative failure modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fault free</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr. 1</td>
<td>F27 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.375</td>
<td>−1.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F276 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F278 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.625</td>
<td>−1.125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr. 2</td>
<td>F77 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F78 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>−2.875</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F356 0</td>
<td>DNL/INL</td>
<td>−0.875</td>
<td>−1.125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr. 3</td>
<td>F21 1</td>
<td>INL</td>
<td>−0.875</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F112 1</td>
<td>DNL/INL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F210 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F219 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F249 1</td>
<td>INL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Conclusions and future work

A flexible embedded test solution for high-speed analogue front-end circuits has been presented. The novel concept of a flexible test solution that addresses virtual component test requirements in particular has been discussed. The non-invasive test solution has been designed for a representative analogue front-end circuit. Its area overhead has been assessed for different depths in on-chip test evaluation.

Test results are generated in the form of average A/D converter output for DC measurements and pass/fail flags for gain step size tests and output voltage swing tests. Additionally, code count sequences for off-chip analysis, DNL and INL sequences and absolute values are provided as well as the gain error and flags for missing bins and non-monotonic behaviour. The test solution can be used for single rising/falling ramp A/D converter test and for test data compression in conventional full-histogram tests (supported by further off-chip data accumulation and analysis). The test solution is generically applicable to analogue front-end sub-systems and achieves largest benefit for high-speed architectures.

As test signatures are extracted on-chip, the test solution prevents one of the major bottlenecks in analogue high-speed circuit test, the performance gap between CUT and ATE. The purely digital embedded test solution also has advantages compared to conventional circuit testing, ranging from the use of digital standard access structures to an automatic synthesis of on-chip tester functions.

The results of testability analyses performed on representative analogue front-end components [28, 31] and the interpretation of these for other high-speed architectures [32] provides evidence for superior test quality compared to conventional FFT-based testing. The kernel test time for the programmable gain block is reduced significantly while more time-consuming data capturing is avoided in A/D converter testing.

Future work will address the incorporation of test stimulus generation techniques and assessment of accuracy requirements. Further work is required for automatic generation and scaling of the test solution for particular designs, specifications, stimulus accuracy, test thresholds, available silicon area and acceptable test time. Additionally, similar flexible embedded test solutions are required that address high-resolution A/D converter testing.
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